

# **PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES**

https://thejas.com.pk/index.php/pjhs Volume 4, Issue 12 (December 2023)



### **Original Article**

# Severe and Frequent Loneliness Mars Adults with Hearing Loss

### Aqsa Irum<sup>1</sup>, Nazia Mumtaz<sup>2</sup>, Ghulam Saqulain<sup>3\*</sup>, Amna Asghar<sup>4</sup> and Tayyaba Dawood<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mayo Hospital, King Edward Medical University, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Riphah International University, Lahore, Pakistan <sup>3</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Capital Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Sir Ganga Ram Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan

# ARTICLE INFO

#### Key Words:

Adulthood, Hearing Loss, Psychological, Severe Loneliness, UCLA Loneliness Scale Version-3

#### How to Cite:

Irum, A., Mumtaz, N., Saqulain, G., Asghar, A., & Dawood, T. (2023). Severe and Frequent Loneliness Mars Adults with Hearing Loss : Loneliness Mars Adults with Hearing Loss . Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences, 4(12). https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v4i12. 926

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Ghulam Saqulain Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Capital Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan ghulam\_saqulain@yahoo.com

Received Date: 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2023 Acceptance Date: 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2023 Published Date: 31<sup>st</sup> December, 2023

# ABSTRACT

There is a high prevalence of hearing loss in community and need of research to address this gap into the health-related issues of hearing loss like loneliness, anxiety and depression, hence current study was conducted. Objective: To determine the subjective loneliness in adults with hearing loss and association with clinico-demographic variables. Methods: This crosssectional study was conducted at Isra University Islamabad, recruited N=377 patients with hearing loss utilizing convenience sampling from Sir Ganga Ram and Mayo Hospitals, Lahore, Pakistan from January 2020 to June 2020. The sample included both genders, aged 20 to 65 years with moderate to profound hearing loss of at least 1-year duration. The basic demographic sheet and University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale Version 3 were used for data collection. Data were analyzed using SPSS Version 26 & Chi-square was utilized for association with p<0.05 taken to be significant. Results: Study revealed severe loneliness in 279(74%) and frequent loneliness in 98(26%). Severity categories of loneliness revealed association with age (p=0.049), gender (p=0.043), smoking (p=0.049), type of hearing loss (p<0.001), degree of hearing loss (p=0.008), hearing aid use (p<0.001), hours and days of hearing aid use (p<0.001). Conclusions: It is concluded that hearing loss results in severe and frequent loneliness. Factors including age, gender, smoking, type of hearing loss, degree of hearing loss, hearing aid use, hours and days of hearing aid use are associated with loneliness in adult population of Punjab, Pakistan.

# INTRODUCTION

Hearing loss (HL) is a common chronic condition of global health concern defined as a hearing threshold greater than 20 dB in both ears, while disabling HL is defined as a loss of more than 35 dB in the better hearing ear. It is a highly prevalent condition with a prevalence of 466 million (m) with 432m adults and 34m children. This accounts for around 6.1% of the world population, with possible escalation to 700m cases with disabling HL by the year 2050 [1]. In the United States alone, the prevalence of HL doubles with 10 years' increment in age [2], with a very high prevalence reported in an Egyptian school-based study [3]. A local study by Zahra et al., reported significant association of hearing handicap with advancing age [4] with predominance of conductive HL (50%), followed by mixed variety (30%) and sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) (20%) [5]. While another local study reported positive correlation of severity of HL with age with moderately severe HL being the commonest followed by severe HL[6]. Adulthood is a large segment of an individual's life, booming with physical and intellectual maturity with early adulthood extending around 25-45 years, middle between 45-65 and then comes older adulthood[7]. Individuals in different age groups suffer psychological issues quite differently and elderly suffer various psycho-social issues influenced by

different factors like daily activities and occupational circumstances etc. [8]. Normal hearing is essential for the normal healthy development of an individual with increase in HL resulting in decreased language acquisition and functioning [9]. HL in adult population can affect quality of life (Qol) in terms of communication difficulties leading to hardships in relationships as well as at work resulting in adverse social, psychological, and economic impact on the individual and family (2). Adult population with HL is at risk of development of mental health issues [10]. With a major segment of society facing this health dilemma, research into HL related outcomes in older adults is need of the hour [11]. Loneliness is a subjective situation where individual lacks the preferred affection as well as closeness with others who are intimate or family and friends and differs from objective state of living alone [12]. There is dearth of studies on loneliness, despite its presence in adolescents in Institutions resulting in aggression [13]. It is important to study loneliness since it is a can predict the results in mental disordered people [14] and can result in a number of psychiatric issues including abuse of alcoholic drinks, child abuse, depression, sleep issues as well as changes in personality [15]. Hence, keeping in view high prevalence of HL in the community with need of research to address this gap into the health-related issues of HL[2], like loneliness, anxiety, depression, stress and deficient knowledge of evidence based treatment.

The current study was designed to determine the Subjective Loneliness in Adults with Hearing Loss and association with clinico-demographic variables. It is an important area of research since it can provide baseline knowledge for taking clinical decisions and provision of policy making to set the background for future research.

#### METHODS

The current cross-sectional study enrolled N=377 patients with hearing loss by convenience sampling over a period of six months from 1st January 2020 to 30th June 2020 from out-patient department of Sir Ganga Ram Hospital and Mayo Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. The sample included patients of both genders, aged 20 to 65 years with moderate to profound hearing loss of at least 1-year duration. Cases with psychotic disorders and multiple handicaps were excluded from the study. A Sample of N=377 was calculated using Raosoft online sample size calculator with 5% error margin, 95% confidence level, and response distribution of 50%. Basic demographic sheet and University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale Version 3 were used for data collection. UCLA loneliness scale Version 3 is a 20 item, valid and reliable tool having a  $\alpha$  value varying from 0.89 to 0.94. Its psychometric properties confirm it to be a reliable assessment tool to assess loneliness in a wide variety of population types and methods for data acquisition [16]. The study was initiated after obtaining ethical approval of Institutional research board of Isra Institute of rehabilitation sciences, Isra University vide Reg. No. 1809-M Phil HS-004, and informed consent of participants for inclusion in the study keeping their anonymity preserved. Data were collected from the recruited patients using the questionnaires and it was analyzed using SPSS Version 26.0. Descriptive statistics were utilized and Chi-square was used to see any association with p<0.05 taken to be significant.

# RESULTS

The current study revealed that majority 279(74%) of the sample population was severely lonely with mean UCLA scale score of 44.2 (SD 8.7), while the remaining 98(26%) felt frequently lonely with mean score of 26.52±3.15 with no participant who was rarely lonely (Figure 1).

 Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Severity of Loneliness (n=377)

Severity off Loneliness	f (%)	Mean ± SD
Rarely	0(0)	0±0
Frequently	98 (26)	26.52±3.15
Severe	279(74)	44.2±8.7

Clinico-demographic features (Table 2) revealed that majority 151(40.1%) of the population was 51-65 years old followed by 130(34.5%) in 20-35 years age group with most 222(58.9\%) being males. Majority 251(66.6%) belonged to middle class and were graduates 75(13.3%). Most 219(58.1%) had SNHL with least 93(24.7%) using hearing aids out of which 64(16.97%) were using hearing aids for more than 12 hours and 54(14.3) were using HAs for 3-4 days a week. Also majority 292(77.5%) never smoked and their partners were alive 229(60.7%). In majority 117(31%) isolated medical problems were present. 125(33.2%) had psychological issues while only 32(8.5%) were using coping strategies for it.

**Table 2:** Sociodemographic & Clinical characteristics of sample population(N=377)

Variable	Group	Frequency (%)	
	20-35	130 (34.5)	
Age	36-50	96(25.5)	
	51-65	151 (40.1)	
Gender	Male	222 (58.9)	
	Female	155 (41.1)	
Economic Status	Low	124 (32.9)	
	Middle	251(66.6)	
	High	2(0.5)	
Education	No Formal Education	72 (19.1)	
	Under Matric	76(20.2)	
	Matric	89(23.6)	
	Intermediate	50 (13.3)	

	Graduation	75 (19.9)		
	Post-Graduation	15(4)		
	CHL	54 (14.3)		
Type of Hearing Loss	SNHL	219 (58.1)		
	MHL	104 (27.6)		
	Moderate	96(25.5)		
Degree of Hearing Loss	Severe	223 (59.2)		
	Profound	58 (15.4)		
Hearing Aid User	Yes	93(24.7)		
Hearing Ald Oser	No	284 (75.3)		
	Less than 8 hours	9(2.4)		
Hearing Aid Usage in Hours/ Day	8-12 hours	20(5.3)		
	More than 12 hours	64 (17)		
	Not HA user	284 (75.3)		
Hearing Aid Usage in Days/ Week	1-2 days	13 (3.4)		
	3-4 days	54 (14.3)		
	More than 4 days	26(6.9)		
	Not HA user	284(75.3)		
	Current	55 (14.6)		
Smoking Status	Former	30(8)		
	Never	292 (77.5)		
	Live	229(60.7)		
Partner Status	Dead	30(8)		
Partner Status	Separated	30(8)		
	Unmarried	88 (23.3)		
	Isolated Medical Problem	117 (31)		
History of Medical Problems	Vision Problem	73 (19.4)		
	Multiple Comorbidities	81(21.5)		
	No problem	106 (28.1)		
Any Psychological Issue	Yes	125 (33.2)		
	No	252(66.8)		
Any Coping Strategy	Yes	32(8.5)		
Any coping strategy	No	345 (91.5)		

Among Socio-demographic variables (Table 3), age revealed significant association with p=0.049 with severity categories of loneliness with higher UCLA scores for age below 36 years and above 50 years. Gender also revealed significant association(p=0.043) with severity of loneliness with higher scores for male gender. Socioeconomic status did not reveal significant association with severity of loneliness however UCLA score was highest for lower class. Educational status also did not reveal association with severity of loneliness; however, scores were highest for post graduates. Smoking status showed significant association (p=0.049) with severity of loneliness with higher frequency of current smokers affected who also had highest UCLA score. However, partner status did not reveal significant association.

**Table 3:** Socio-Demographic Characteristics \* Severity ofLoneliness & UCLA Score. Cross Tabulation(n-377)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v4i12.926

Socio-Demographic		S	UCLA Score		
Variable	Group	Frequently (21-30) (n=98)	Severe (31-40) (n=279)	Chi- Square Associa tion (X2, p- value)	Mean ± SD
	20-35 (n=130)	26	104		42.10±10.07
Age	36-50 (n=96)	33	63	5.93 0.049	36.21±10.02
	51-65 (n=151)	39	112		39.61±11.59
Gender	Male (n=222)	49	73	4.319	40.77±10.96
Gender	Female (n=155)	49	106	0.043	37.94±10.64
	Low (n=124)	34	90	0.866	40.7±11.08
Economic Status	Middle (n=251)	64	187		39.12±10.81
	High (n=2)	0	2		31.50±0.71
	None (n=72)	14	58	9.089 0.106	40.17±10.52
	Under Matric (n=76)	27	49		37.47±11.15
	Matric (n=89)	28	61		37.98±11.48
Education	Intermediate (n=50)	11	39		41.34±10.70
	Graduation (n=75)	16	59		39.12±10.05
	Post-Graduation (n=15)	2	13		44.40±9.51
	Current (n=55)	11	44		42.67±10.48
Smoking Status	Former (n=30)	13	17	4.78 0.049	36.33±11.95
	Never (n=292)	74	218		39.36±10.77
	Live (n=229)	63	166		38.69±10.77
Partner	Dead (n=30)	7	23	1.521	39.63±11.58
Status	Separated (n=30)	9	21	0.677	42.40±11.26
	Unmarried (n=88)	19	69		41.03±10.74

Clinical characteristics (Table 4) revealed that type of hearing loss had significant association (p<0.001) with severity of loneliness with highest UCLA score for SNHL and lowest for conductive HL. Similarly degree of hearing loss was significantly (p=0.008) associated with severity of loneliness with highest UCLA scores for severe hearing loss and lowest for moderate HL. Hearing aid use was also significantly (P<0.001) associated with severity of loneliness with more cases not using HA suffering loneliness and highest scores for those not using HA. Hours of HA use per day and Days per week were associated (P<0.001) with severity of loneliness with higher scores for those not using HA and those using hearing aids for longer time both in terms of hours and days. History of medical problems and psychological issues and coping strategies use did not reveal significant association with severity of loneliness.

**Table 4:** Clinical Characteristics \* Severity of Loneliness &UCLA Score. Cross Tabulation(n-377)

Clinica	I Variables	Severity		UCLA Score	
Variable	Group	Rarely Lonely (n=156)	Being Lonely (n=221)	Chi- Square Association (X <sup>2</sup> , p- value)	Mean ± SD
Type of	CHL(n=54)	28	26	22.82	33.24±0.19
Hearing Loss	SNHL (n=219)	51	168	0.000	41.07±11.53

	MHL (n=104)	19	85		39.83±0.11
Degree of Hearing Loss	Moderate(n=96)	32	64	9.752 0.008	36.85±9.99
	Severe(n=223)	45	178		41.44±11.16
	Profound (n=58)	21	37		37.14±10.04
Hearing	Yes(n=93)	51	42	53.39,	32.05±9.69
Aid User	No (n=284)	47	237	0.000	42.08±10.41
Hearing Aid Usage in Hours Per Day	< 8 hours (n=9)	9	0	65.81, 0.000	21.87±0.64
	8-12 hours (n=20)	14	6		29.85±2.72
	> 12 hours (n=64)	28	36		34.41±0.47
	Non user (n=284)	47	237		41.95±10.48
	1-2 days (n=13)	2	11	50.36, 0.000	47.00±00
Hearing Aid Usage in Days Per Week	3-4 days (n=54)	35	19		29.20±5.44
	> 4 days (n=26)	14	12		39.42±12.15
	Non user (n=284)	47	237		41.58±10.47
	Isolated Medical Problem (n=117)	35	82	44.31, 0.23	38.38±10.70
History of Medical	Vision Problem (n=73)	20	53		38.17±10.87
Problems	Multiple Com- orbidities (n=81)	14	67		42.23±11.46
	No problem (n=106)	29	77		39.93±10.45
Any Psychological Issue	Yes(n=125)	31	94	0.139	40.48±10.11
	No (n=252)	67	185	0.803	39.17±11.27
Any Coping Strategy	Yes(n=32)	10	22	0.502	40.69±11.50
	No (n=345)	88	257	0.528	39.51±10.96

# DISCUSSION

Loneliness has a high prevalence range of 25-29% in adult US population with similar estimates in other countries including Asian states [12]. The current study revealed that majority of adult population with hearing loss(HL)279(74%) was severely lonely with mean scores on University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Loneliness Scale Version 3 at 44.2 (SD 8.7), while the remaining 98(26%) felt frequently lonely with mean score of 26.52(3.15). Similarly, a study by Bott & Saunders clarified that HL was a risk factor associated with both loneliness as well as social isolation and remedial measure to improve hearing might help address these issues [17,18]. Different age groups revealed varying level of association of HL and psychological wellbeing with loneliness reported to be a problem mainly in age group between 18 to 30 years [19]. Similarly in a study by Sung et al., revealed that younger age was associated with increased loneliness [20]. However, in the current study age revealed significant association (p=0.049) with severity of loneliness with higher scores for age below 36 years and above 50 years. In contrast a study by Mick et al., reported significant association of social isolation in females of 60-69 years of age with no significant association in remaining age and gender groups [21]. In current study gender also revealed significant association (p=0.043) with severity of loneliness with higher USLA score for males. While Shukla et al., in their study reported a stronger association of HL with loneliness in females [18]. Similarly, Ramage-Morin reported higher prevalence of isolation in females (16%)

compared to males (12%) [22]. In the present study, socioeconomic status did not reveal any association with loneliness however UCLA score was highest for lower class. Similarly, a study by Nakahori et al., reported no association of socioeconomic status in terms of blue- or white-collar jobs with HL [23]. Literature reveals association of lower level of education with HL in the elderly, while present study shows no association (p=0.106) of educational status with severity of loneliness, however UCLA scores were higher for post graduates. Smoking status also revealed significant association (p=0.049) with severity of loneliness with higher frequency of current smokers affected who also had highest UCLA score indicating loneliness leads to smoking or vice versa. However, partner status did not reveal significant association though literature reveals association of Ioneliness and social isolation with HL [18]. In present study, the type of hearing loss was significantly (p<0.001) associated with severity of loneliness with highest score for sensory-neural hearing loss (SNHL) and lowest for conductive HL. Similarly, Ramage-Morin reported association of loneliness with moderate degree of SNHL [22]. The degree of HL was significantly (p=0.008) associated with severity of loneliness with highest scores for severe and lowest for moderate HL. The study by Sung et al., posits higher degree of HL associated more loneliness [20]. The chances of acquiring severe to very severe loneliness is significantly augmented by 7% for each decibel of signal to noise ratio drop in hearing [19]. The augmentative assistive devices for auditory support like hearing aids (HA) usage improves the hearing and speech comprehension of the hearing-impaired population therefore its usage decreases loneliness [24]. In compliance to current study, it was found that HA use was also significantly (p<0.001) associated with severity of loneliness with highest scores for those not using HA. The hours of HA use per day and days per week are also associated (p<0.001) with loneliness and higher UCLA scores were noted for those not using HA in terms of hours and days. The duration/ time related dose effect was reported by Weinstein et al., in moderate to severe HL cases using hearing aids [24]. Applebaum et al., also reported that subjective loneliness in adult HI and cochlear implant users was useful in reducing the loneliness level [25]. Dawes et al., also reported that HA use was weakly associated with increased social isolation [26]. The history of medical problems, psychological issues and coping strategies did not reveal significant association with severity of loneliness. In contrast, a study by Warringa et al., revealed that frequency of use of ample coping behaviors including verbal strategies was significantly associated with reduced loneliness [27].

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v4i12.926

# CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that hearing loss results in severe and frequent loneliness. Factors including age, gender, smoking, type of hearing loss, degree of hearing loss, hearing aid use, hours and days of hearing aid use are associated with loneliness in adult population of Punjab, Pakistan.

### Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: NM Methodology: AI, GS Formal analysis: AI, TD Writing-review and editing: NM, GS, AA All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

# REFERNCES

- [1] World Health Organization. Deafness and hearing loss. 2021. [Last Cited: 8th January 2022]. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/de tail/deafness-and-hearing-loss
- [2] Cunningham LL and Tucci DL. Hearing Loss in Adults. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2017 Dec; 377(25): 2465-2473. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1616601.
- [3] Abdel-Rahman AG, Meky FAS, Allam MF, El-Tabakh M, El-Gaafary MM. Prevalence and risk factors of hearing disorders in secondary schools students in Ismailia, Egypt. La Revue de Sante de la Mediterranee oriental e. 2007; 13: 586-594.
- [4] Saqulain G and Mumtaz N. Hearing handicap in older adults: a multi-center study. Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal. 2021 Dec; 71(Suppl-3): S590-93. doi: 10.51253/pafmj.v1i1.3137.
- [5] Musani MA, Rauf A, Ahsan M, Khan FA. Frequency and causes of hearing impairment in tertiary care center. JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2011 Feb; 61(2): 141-4.
- [6] Saqulain G, Zahra G, Mumtaz N. Audiometric Characteristics of Presbycusis: A Hospital-Based Study. Journal of Islamabad Medical & Dental College. 2021 Sep; 10(3): 169-75. doi: 10.35787/jimdc.v 10i3.543.
- [7] Stangor C and Walinga J. Introduction to psychology. 1st Canadian Edition. BCcampus; 2014.
- [8] Kourkouta L, Iliadis C, Monios A. Psychosocial issues in elderly. Prognostic Health Sciences. 2015 Jun; 5(1): 232-7.

- [9] Ching TY, Crowe K, Martin V, Day J, Mahler N, Youn S, et al. Language development and everyday functioning of children with hearing loss assessed at 3 years of age. International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology. 2010 Apr; 12(2): 124-31. doi: 10.31 09/17549500903577022.
- [10] Cosh S, Helmer C, Delcourt C, Robins TG, Tully PJ. Depression in elderly patients with hearing loss: current perspectives. Clinical Intervention in Aging. 2019 Aug; 14: 1471-1480. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S195824.
- [11] Feltner C, Wallace IF, Kistler CE, Schwimmer MC, Jonas DE, Middleton JC. Screening for Hearing Loss in Older Adults: An Evidence Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2021. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.24855.
- [12] Ong AD, Uchino BN, Wethington E. Loneliness and health in older adults: A mini-review and synthesis. Gerontology. 2016 Jun; 62(4): 443-9. doi: 10.1159/000 441651.
- [13] Khaliq AA, Fatima I, Iftikhar M, Alam QUA. Loneliness and Aggression in Adolescents Living in Institutionalized Care. Pakistan Journal of Professional Psychology: Research and Practice. 2020 Mar; 11: 69-82.
- [14] Mushtaq R, Shoib S, Shah T, Mushtaq S. Relationship between loneliness, psychiatric disorders and physical health? A review on the psychological aspects of loneliness. Journal of Clinical Diagnostic Research. 2014 Sep; 8(9): WE01-4. doi: 10.7860/JCDR /2014/10077.4828.
- [15] Wang J, Lloyd-Evans B, Marston L, Mann F, Ma R, Johnson S. Loneliness as a predictor of outcomes in mental disorders among people who have experienced a mental health crisis: a 4-month prospective study. BMC Psychiatry. 2020 Dec; 20(1): 1-5. doi: 10.1186/s12888-020-02665-2.
- [16] Russell DW. UCLA Loneliness Scale (Version 3): Reliability, validity, and factor structure. Journal of Personality Assessment. 1996 Feb; 66(1): 20-40. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa6601\_2.
- [17] Bott A and Saunders G. A scoping review of studies investigating hearing loss, social isolation and/or loneliness in adults. International Journal of Audiology. 2021Jul; 60(sup2): 30-46. doi: 10.1080/149 92027.2021.1915506.
- [18] Shukla A, Harper M, Pedersen E, Goman A, Suen JJ, Price C, et al. Hearing loss, loneliness, and social isolation: a systematic review. Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. 2020 May; 162(5): 622-33. doi: 10.1177/0194599820910377.
- [19] Nachtegaal J, Smit JH, Smits CA, Bezemer PD, Van

#### Loneliness Mars Adults with Hearing Loss

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v4i12.926

Beek JH, Festen JM, et al. The association between hearing status and psychosocial health before the age of 70 years: results from an internet-based national survey on hearing. Ear and Hearing. 2009 Jun; 30(3): 302-12.doi: 10.1097/AUD.0b013e31819c6e 01.

- [20] Sung YK, Li L, Blake C, Betz J, Lin FR. Association of hearing loss and loneliness in older adults. Journal of Aging and Health. 2016 Sep; 28(6): 979-94. doi: 10.1177 /0898264315614570.
- [21] Mick P, Kawachi I, Lin FR. The association between hearing loss and social isolation in older adults. Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. 2014 Mar; 15 0(3): 378-84. doi: 10.1177/0194599813518021.
- [22] Ramage-Morin PL. Hearing difficulties and feelings of social isolation among Canadians aged 45 or older. Health Reports. 2016 Nov; 27(11): 3-12.
- [23] Nakahori N, Sekine M, Yamada M, Tatsuse T, Kido H, Suzuki M. Association between self-reported hearing loss and low socioeconomic status in Japan: findings from the Toyama dementia survey. BMC Geriatrics. 2020 Dec; 20: 1-7. doi: 10.1186/s12877-020-01680-y.
- [24] Weinstein BE, Sirow LW, Moser S. Relating hearing aid use to social and emotional loneliness in older adults. American Journal of Audiology. 2016 Mar; 25(1): 54-61. doi: 10.1044/2015\_AJA-15-0055.
- [25] Applebaum J, Hoyer M, Betz J, Lin FR, Goman AM. Long-term subjective loneliness in adults after hearing loss treatment. International Journal of Audiology. 2019 Aug; 58(8): 464-7. doi: 10.1080/14992 027.2019.1593523.
- [26] Dawes P, Emsley R, Cruickshanks KJ, Moore DR, Fortnum H, Edmondson-Jones M, et al. Hearing loss and cognition: the role of hearing AIDS, social isolation and depression. PloS One. 2015 Mar; 10(3): e0119616.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119616.
- [27] Warringa LT, Henke CE, Pronk M, Kramer SE, Stam M. Relationships between coping behaviors and social loneliness in adults with self-reported hearing problems. Ear and Hearing. 2020 Jul; 41(4): 1040-50. doi: 10.1097/AUD.00000000000828.