



Original Article



Comparative Analysis of Serum Uric Acid Levels and Bone Mineral Density Among Elderly Individuals

Nizam Ahmed^{1*}, Siraj Ahmed Butt¹, Imran Khan Maher¹, Muhammad Faraz Jokhio¹, Rasheed Ahmed Bhatti² and Sheeba Faryal³

¹Department of Orthopedics Surgery and Traumatology, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

²Department of Orthopedics Surgery, Bilawal Medical College for Boys, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

³Department of Medicine, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Uric Acid, Bone Mineral Density, Osteoporosis, Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry

How to Cite:

Ahmed, N., Butt, S. A., Maher, I. K., Jokhio, M. F., Bhatti, R. A., & Faryal, S. (2025). Comparative Analysis of Serum Uric Acid Levels and Bone Mineral Density Among Elderly Individuals: Serum Uric Acid and BMD among Elderly Individuals. *Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(3), 241-245. <https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v6i3.2936>

***Corresponding Author:**

Nizam Ahmed
 Department of Orthopedics Surgery and Traumatology, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan
drnizam2526@gmail.com

Received date: 5th February, 2025

Acceptance date: 24th March, 2025

Published date: 31st March, 2025

ABSTRACT

Osteoporosis is a major public health concern, particularly in aging populations. Emerging evidence suggests that serum uric acid (SUA) may influence bone mineral density (BMD) due to its antioxidant properties. However, the relationship between SUA levels and BMD remains controversial. **Objectives:** To compare BMD among individuals with low and high SUA levels and to determine the association between SUA and osteoporosis prevalence. **Methods:** This cross-sectional-comparative study was done at Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad, from March 2022 to August 2022. A total of 182 participants (≥ 50 years old) who underwent DEXA scan for BMD assessment were included using a purposive sampling technique. Participants were categorized into low SUA (< 5.5 mg/dL) and high SUA (> 5.5 mg/dL) groups. BMD at the lumbar spine, total hip, and femoral neck was recorded. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v.24, with t-tests, chi-square tests, and Pearson's correlation applied. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. **Results:** Participants with high SUA levels had significantly higher BMI ($p=0.01$). Lumbar spine BMD was significantly lower in the high SUA group ($p=0.04$), but no significant differences were observed for total hip and femoral neck BMD. Pearson's correlation showed a positive association between SUA and lumbar BMD ($r=0.32$, $p=0.015$). **Conclusions:** It was concluded that higher SUA levels were associated with lower osteoporosis prevalence but paradoxically lower lumbar BMD.

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of osteoporosis increases with age. In Pakistan, where the aging population is steadily growing, osteoporosis and osteoporotic fractures have become a significant public health concern. The burden of osteoporosis is particularly high among postmenopausal women and elderly men, contributing to increased healthcare costs, disability, and mortality [1]. Osteoporotic fractures, particularly hip and vertebral fractures, can lead to severe pain, prolonged immobilization, reduced quality of life, and even death [2]. According to a systematic review, hip fractures in the elderly are associated with a

one-year mortality rate of approximately 30%, highlighting the urgent need for effective prevention and management strategies [3]. Additionally, data suggest that osteopenia, a precursor to osteoporosis, significantly increases fracture risk and should also be aggressively managed to prevent future fractures [4]. Uric acid, a byproduct of purine metabolism, has been widely studied for its role in metabolic disorders such as gout, chronic kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease [5]. While hyperuricemia has traditionally been considered a risk factor for metabolic syndrome and inflammatory diseases [6], recent evidence



suggests that uric acid also has antioxidant properties that may influence bone metabolism [7]. As a potent scavenger of free radicals, uric acid contributes to the body's overall antioxidant defense by neutralizing peroxy radicals and chelating iron [8]. Since oxidative stress plays a crucial role in bone resorption and osteoporosis, some studies have proposed that higher serum uric acid (SUA) levels may be associated with higher bone mineral density (BMD) and a lower risk of fractures [9]. However, the relationship remains controversial, with some studies reporting no association or even an inverse correlation [10, 11]. Furthermore, much of the existing research has been conducted on women, with limited data available on men. In Pakistan, where malnutrition, vitamin D deficiency, and poor healthcare access contribute to a high prevalence of osteoporosis, understanding metabolic factors that influence bone health is crucial. Body weight and body mass index (BMI) have long been recognized as protective factors against BMD decline, with fat-free mass (FFM) having a stronger association with BMD than body fat mass (BFM) [12]. Some studies suggest that SUA may be positively correlated with both BMD and skeletal muscle mass index (SMI), indicating a potential indirect role in bone health through muscle mass preservation [12, 13]. However, research on the association between SUA and skeletal muscle mass remains limited and inconsistent, particularly in developing countries like Pakistan, where lifestyle and dietary habits differ significantly from Western populations [14].

Osteoporosis is an increasing public health burden among elderly populations in Pakistan, leading to fractures, disability, and higher mortality, yet metabolic factors influencing bone health remain insufficiently understood. Although serum uric acid (SUA) may play a protective antioxidant role in bone metabolism, existing evidence on its relationship with bone mineral density (BMD) is inconsistent, with conflicting findings across populations and skeletal sites. In Pakistan, limited local data exist regarding the association between SUA and BMD among elderly individuals, particularly including both genders and multiple bone regions. This study aims to evaluate the relationship between serum uric acid levels and bone mineral density among elderly individuals in Pakistan.

METHODS

This cross-sectional comparative study was conducted at the Department of Orthopedics and Medicine OPD of Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad. The study was conducted from March 2022 to August 2022. Men and women aged 50 years and older who had undergone dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans for bone mineral density (BMD) assessment were selected through purposive sampling. A 5cc sample of fasting intravenous blood was collected to measure serum uric acid levels

using the enzymatic colorimetric method in a standardized laboratory. Both BMD and serum uric acid levels were assessed on the same day. Patients with known metabolic bone diseases, chronic kidney disease, or conditions affecting uric acid metabolism were excluded from the study. The study was approved via the REC of Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences vide letter NO.LUMHS/REC/-046. Informed written consent was taken from each participant before enrollment in the study. The study sample included a total of 182 participants, equally divided into two groups: low serum uric acid levels (<5.5 mg/dl) and high serum uric acid levels (>5.5 mg/dl). Sample size was calculated via the Open Epi Sample Size Calculator (mean difference) by taking the mean BMD at the Lumbar spine in the Low Uric Acid group as 1.097 ± 0.152 g/cm² and in the High Uric Acid group as 1.161 ± 0.156 g/cm² [15]. Power of study was 80%, and CI was taken as 95%. BMD was assessed at the lumbar spine (L1-L4), total hip, and femoral neck using a DEXA. Osteoporosis was diagnosed based on the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria, with a T-score ≤ -2.5 indicating osteoporosis and a T-score between -1.0 and -2.5 indicating osteopenia. Clinical and demographic data, including age, sex, BMI, smoking status and physical activity, were collected through structured questionnaires and medical records. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS v.24. The normality of the data was assessed via the Shapiro-Wilk test. The data were found to be normally distributed, so parametric tests were applied. Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), while categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Independent t-tests and chi-square tests were used to compare variables between groups. Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted to assess the relationship between SUA levels and BMD. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of participants was comparable between the low uric acid and high uric acid groups (65.3 ± 7.1 vs. 64.8 ± 6.9 years, $p=0.65$), with a nearly equal distribution of males and females in both groups. However, BMI was significantly higher in the high uric acid group (26.5 ± 4.1 kg/m²) compared to the low uric acid group (23.1 ± 3.5 kg/m², $p=0.01$), suggesting a possible association between higher uric acid levels and increased body weight. No significant differences were observed in smoking status ($p=0.51$) or physical activity levels ($p=0.32$) between the groups. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Population

Variables	Low Uric Acid (n=91)	High Uric Acid (n=91)	p-value
Mean Age (Years)	65.3 ± 7.1	64.8 ± 6.9	0.65
Male	45 (49.5%)	47 (51.6%)	0.75
Female	46 (50.5%)	44 (48.4%)	0.80
Mean BMI (kg/m ²)	23.1 ± 3.5	26.5 ± 4.1	0.01*
Smoking	30 (33.0%)	35 (38.5%)	0.51
Physical Activity	51 (56.0%)	58 (63.7%)	0.32

Participants with high uric acid levels had significantly lower lumbar spine BMD (0.85 ± 0.12 g/cm²) compared to those with low uric acid levels (0.93 ± 0.15 g/cm², $p = 0.04$). However, BMD differences at the total hip ($p=0.25$) and femoral neck ($p=0.67$) were not statistically significant. Bone mineral density (BMD) measurements are given in Table 2.

Table 2: Bone Mineral Density (BMD) by Uric Acid Levels

BMD Site	Low Uric Acid (n=91)	High Uric Acid (n=91)	p-value
Lumbar Spine (g/cm ²)	0.93 ± 0.15	0.85 ± 0.12	0.04*
Total Hip (g/cm ²)	0.78 ± 0.16	0.86 ± 0.11	0.25
Femoral Neck (g/cm ²)	0.72 ± 0.9	0.81 ± 0.14	0.67

A significantly higher proportion of osteoporotic patients were found in the low uric acid group (41.8%) compared to the high uric acid group (23.1%, $p=0.02$). Meanwhile, osteopenia was relatively balanced between the two groups (44.0% vs. 47.3%; $p=0.18$), while normal BMD was more common in the low uric acid group (29.6% vs. 14.2%; $p=0.056$). These findings further support the hypothesis that higher uric acid levels might be associated with better bone health and lower osteoporosis risk. The prevalence of osteoporosis and osteopenia across uric acid groups is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Osteoporosis and Osteopenia Prevalence among Groups

Bone Status	Low Uric Acid (n=91) (<5.5 mg/dl)	High Uric Acid (n=91) (>5.5 mg/dl)	p-value	CI (95%)
Osteoporosis	38 (41.8%)	21 (23.1%)	0.02*	0.01 to 0.16
Osteopenia	40 (44.0%)	43 (47.3%)	0.18	-0.07 to 0.03
Normal BMD	27 (29.6%)	13 (14.2%)	0.05	-0.04 to 0.06

The correlation analysis presented a significant positive correlation between serum uric acid levels and lumbar spine BMD ($r=0.32$, $p=0.015$), reinforcing the previous findings of a protective effect of uric acid on bone mass. However, no significant correlation was observed between uric acid levels and total hip BMD ($r=0.35$, $p=0.65$) or femoral neck BMD ($r=0.40$, $p=0.08$), suggesting site-specific effects of uric acid on bone density, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Correlation Between Serum Uric Acid Levels and BMD

Variables	p-value		CI (95%)
Uric Acid vs Lumbar BMD	0.32	0.01*	0.06 to 0.52
Uric Acid vs Hip BMD	0.35	0.65	-0.18 to 0.62
Uric Acid vs Femoral BMD	0.40	0.08	-0.04 to 0.67

DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to analyze the association between serum uric acid levels and bone mineral density (BMD) among osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic patients. Our findings indicate that higher serum uric acid levels are associated with significantly lower lumbar spine BMD ($p=0.04$), while no significant differences were observed at the total hip or femoral neck BMD sites. Several studies have investigated the potential protective effect of uric acid on bone metabolism. A meta-analysis by Kim et al., suggested that higher serum uric acid levels were associated with greater BMD and lower fracture risk in older adults [16]. This was attributed to the antioxidant properties of uric acid, which may reduce oxidative stress and subsequent bone loss. However, our study does not fully support this hypothesis, as lumbar BMD was significantly lower in the high uric acid group, contrary to expectations. Our findings are more aligned with the study by Robles-Rivera et al., which found that elevated uric acid levels were not consistently associated with improved BMD across all skeletal sites [17]. They reported that uric acid might exert different effects depending on bone location, which aligns with our observation that lumbar BMD was significantly affected while hip and femoral neck BMD were not. Interestingly, our results showed a significantly higher BMI in the high uric acid group ($p=0.01$). Obesity and higher BMI are well-documented protective factors against osteoporosis due to the mechanical loading effect on bones and increased estrogen production from adipose tissue [18]. Therefore, it is possible that higher BMI rather than uric acid levels contributed to bone health in our study population, making it difficult to isolate the direct effect of uric acid on BMD. This observation is supported by Tu et al., who reported that higher BMI was more strongly correlated with increased BMD than uric acid levels alone [19]. In contrast, a study conducted by Tanaka et al., found that hyperuricemia was associated with increased BMD in postmenopausal women [20]. Previous research has highlighted that estrogen plays a crucial role in regulating bone remodeling and that postmenopausal women may experience different responses to uric acid compared to men or premenopausal women [21]. Our study population included both males and females, which could have influenced the results differently from studies that focused solely on postmenopausal women. Regarding osteoporosis prevalence, our findings indicated that osteoporosis was significantly more common in the low uric acid group

(41.8%) than in the high uric acid group (23.1%, $p=0.02$). This aligns with a study by Yan *et al.*, which reported that low serum uric acid levels were associated with a higher risk of osteoporosis and fractures [22]. However, unlike their study, our research found that lumbar BMD was lower in individuals with high uric acid levels, adding complexity to the relationship between uric acid and bone health.

This study was limited by its cross-sectional design, which restricts causal inference between SUA and BMD, and by purposive sampling from a single center, reducing generalizability. Potential confounding factors such as dietary habits, vitamin D levels, renal function variations, and medication use may not have been fully controlled. Future studies should employ large-scale longitudinal or multicenter designs to clarify causal relationships and site-specific effects of SUA on bone health. Further research should also explore biochemical, hormonal, and lifestyle factors to better understand the complex interaction between uric acid metabolism and osteoporosis risk.

CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that our study provides mixed evidence on the association between serum uric acid levels and bone health. While osteoporosis prevalence was lower in individuals with high uric acid levels, lumbar spine BMD was paradoxically lower in this group, suggesting a complex and site-specific relationship between uric acid and bone metabolism. Additionally, BMI differences between the groups may have confounded the observed associations.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: NA

Methodology: NA, SAB, IKM, MFJ, SF

Formal analysis: RAB

Writing and Drafting: SAB, IKM, RAB, SF

Review and Editing: SAB, IKM, RAB, SF

All authors approved the final manuscript and take responsibility for the integrity of the work

Conflicts of Interest

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahn SH, Park SM, Park SY, Yoo JI, Jung HS, Nho JH *et al.* Osteoporosis and Osteoporotic Fracture Fact Sheet in Korea. *Journal of Bone Metabolism*. 2020 Nov; 27(4): 281. doi: 10.11005/jbm.2020.27.4.281.
- [2] Li X, Li L, Yang L, Yang J, Lu H. No Association Between Serum Uric Acid and Lumbar Spine Bone Mineral Density in Us Adult Males: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Scientific Reports*. 2021 Aug; 11(1): 15588. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-95207-z.
- [3] Downey C, Kelly M, Quinlan JF. Changing Trends in the Mortality Rate At 1-Year Post-Hip Fracture Systematic Review. *World Journal of Orthopedics*. 2019 Mar; 10(3): 166. doi: 10.5312/wjo.v10.i3.166.
- [4] Gherghina ME, Peride I, Tiglis M, Neagu TP, Niculae A, Checherita IA. Uric Acid and Oxidative Stress—Relationship with Cardiovascular, Metabolic, and Renal Impairment. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2022 Mar; 23(6): 3188. doi: 10.3390/ijms23063188.
- [5] Du L, Zong Y, Li H, Wang Q, Xie L, Yang B *et al.* Hyperuricemia and Its Related Diseases: Mechanisms And Advances In Therapy. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*. 2024 Aug; 9(1): 212. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01916-y.
- [6] Joosten LA, Crişan TO, Bjornstad P, Johnson RJ. Asymptomatic Hyperuricaemia: A Silent Activator of the Innate Immune System. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*. 2020 Feb; 16(2): 75–86. doi: 10.1038/s41584-019-0334-3.
- [7] Younes N, Shi Z, Abu-Madi MA. Serum Uric Acid Level Is Positively Associated With Higher Bone Mineral Density at Multiple Skeletal Sites Among Healthy Qataris. 2021 Mar; 12. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.653685.
- [8] Lin KM, Lu CL, Hung KC, Wu PC, Pan CF, Wu CJ *et al.* The Paradoxical Role of Uric Acid in Osteoporosis. *Nutrients*. 2019 Sep; 11(9): 2111. doi: 10.3390/nu11092111.
- [9] Li X, Peng Y, Chen K, Zhou Y, Luo W. Association Between Serum Uric Acid Levels and Bone Mineral Density in Chinese and American: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Scientific Reports*. 2025 Mar; 15(1): 8304. doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-92348-3.
- [10] Li JY, Lee JI, Lu CC, Su YD, Chiu CT, Chen SC *et al.* Hyperuricemia and Its Association with Osteoporosis in A Large Asian Cohort. *Nutrients*. 2022 May; 14(11): 2206. doi: 10.3390/nu14112206.
- [11] Lee JW, Kwon BC, Choi HG. Analyses of the Relationship Between Hyperuricemia and Osteoporosis. *Scientific Reports*. 2021 Jun; 11(1): 12080. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-91570-z.
- [12] Kim MJ, Sung EJ, Kim CH, Shin HC, Lee SY. Association of Lumbar Spine Bone Mineral Density According to Obesity and Metabolic Health Status in Korean 60 Years of Age or Older. *Korean Journal of Family Practice*. 2018 Aug; 8(4): 593–600. doi: 10.21215/kjfp.2018.8.4.593.
- [13] Liu X, Chen X, Hu F, Xia X, Hou L, Zhang G *et al.* Higher Uric Acid Serum Levels are Associated with Sarcopenia in Western China: A Cross-Sectional Study. *BioMed Central Geriatrics*. 2022 Feb; 22(1): 121. doi: 10.1186/s12877-022-02817-x.
- [14] Ojo AS, Nnyanzi LA, Giles EL, Ells LJ, Awolaran O, Okeke SR *et al.* Perceptions of Dietary Intake

- Amongst Black, Asian and Other Minority Ethnic Groups in High-Income Countries: A Systematic Review of Qualitative Literature. *BioMed Central Nutrition*. 2023 Jul; 9(1):85. doi:10.1186/s40795-023-00743-8.
- [15] Kaushal N, Vohora D, Jalali RK, Jha S. Raised Serum Uric Acid Is Associated with Higher Bone Mineral Density in A Cross-Sectional Study of A Healthy Indian Population. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*. 2018 Jan; 75-82. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S147696.
- [16] Kim S, Lee S, Kwon H. Association Between Serum Uric Acid Level and Bone Mineral Density In Men More Than 50 Years of Age. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*. 2023 Nov; 14:1259077. doi:10.3389/fendo.2023.1259077.
- [17] Robles-Rivera K, Argoty-Pantoja AD, Hidalgo-Bravo A, Quezada-Sánchez AD, León-Reyes G, Flores YN et al. Uric Acid Levels Are Associated with Bone Mineral Density in Mexican Populations: A Longitudinal Study. *Nutrients*. 2022 Oct; 14(20):4245. doi:10.3390/nu14204245.
- [18] Gkastaris K, Goulis DG, Potoupnis M, Anastasilakis AD, Kapetanios G. Obesity, Osteoporosis and Bone Metabolism. *Journal of Musculoskeletal and Neuronal Interactions*. 2020; 20(3): 372.
- [19] Tu J, Mo X, Zhang X, Chen Z, Xi L, Wu C et al. BMI Mediates the Association of Serum Uric Acid with Bone Health: A Cross-Sectional Study of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *BioMed Central Musculoskeletal Disorders*. 2024 Jun; 25(1): 482. doi:10.1186/s12891-024-07595-8.
- [20] Tanaka KI, Kanazawa I, Notsu M, Sugimoto T. Higher Serum Uric Acid Is A Risk Factor of Vertebral Fractures in Postmenopausal Women with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology and Diabetes*. 2020 Jan; 128(01):66-71. doi:10.1055/a-0815-4954.
- [21] Hsu SH, Chen LR, Chen KH. Primary Osteoporosis Induced by Androgen and Estrogen Deficiency: The Molecular and Cellular Perspective on Pathophysiological Mechanisms and Treatments. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2024 Nov; 25(22): 12139. doi: 10.3390/ijms252212139.
- [22] Yan DD, Wang J, Hou XH, Bao YQ, Zhang ZL, Hu C, Jia WP. Association of Serum Uric Acid Levels with Osteoporosis and Bone Turnover Markers in A Chinese Population. *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica*. 2018 Apr; 39(4): 626-32. doi: 10.1038/aps.2017.165.