DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.255



PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

https://thejas.com.pk/index.php/pjhs Volume 3, Issue 5 (October 2022)



Original Article

Psychological Distress, Adaptation, And Well-Being in COVID-19 Recovered Patients: A Correlational Descriptive Study

Roosina Abbas¹, Muhammad Suliman², Amir Sultan³, Sabiha Khanum⁴ and Najma Naz⁴

¹College of Nursing, Khyber Teaching Hospital Peshawar, Pakistan

²Upper-Swat College of Nursing, Swat, KPK, Pakistan

³Nursing Department, Saidu Group of teaching Hospital Swat, KPK, Pakistan

⁴Institute of Nursing Sciences, Khyber medical university Peshawar, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words:

Covid-19, Psychological adaptation, Mental health, Physical health, Psychological distress, Well-being.

How to Cite:

Abbas, R. ., Suliman, M. ., Sultan, A., Khanum, S. ., & Naz, N. . (2022). Psychological Distress, Adaptation, And Well-Being in COVID-19 Recovered Patients: A Correlational Descriptive Study : Psychological Distress, Adaptation, And Well-Being in COVID-19 Recovered Patients. Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences, 3(05).

https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.255

*Corresponding Author:

Sabiha Khanum Institute of Nursing Sciences, Khyber medical university Peshawar, Pakistan sabiha.ins@kmu.edu.pk

Received Date: 12th October, 2022 Acceptance Date: 22nd October, 2022 Published Date: 31st October, 2022

INTRODUCTION

The novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 virus was first identified in the Wuhan province of China, where unexplained cases of patients were admitted for having symptoms of flu in December 2019[1]. Later, on June 12th, 2020, the WHO reported the cases globally were 7410510 with a total death toll of 418294, USA stood on top regarding cases as well as mortality [2]. Pakistan in the eastern Mediterranean region had a case of 305,671 with total deaths of 6416 and recovered 292,303 [3]. More than 220 countries reported 29.3 million laboratory confirmed cases of COVID-19 on all continents except Antarctica [4]. Mental or psychological well-being encompasses a broad phenomenon in which psychological distress serves as an indicator or predictor and is defined as non-specific symptoms of stress, personality traits, functional disabilities, behavioral problems, anxiety, and depression [5]. Along with the other associated and correlated factors, psychological distress most often arises with exposure to stressful events or stressors, and the emotional turmoil leads to ineffective coping or adaptation [6]. Pandemics

A B S T R A C T The novel SARS-CoV-2 virus was reported in the province of Wuhan china and spread to more than 220 countries in no time. The patient who diagnosed corona virus has symptoms of flu,

care, were ignored by the healthcare professionals.

fever, sore throat and respiratory tract infection. In Pakistan the cases of covid-19 were also higher (305,671) and deaths (6416) due to six biggest populations in the world. **Objective:** The aim

of the study was to explore the psychological distress, adaptation and well-being of patients

diagnosed with covid-19 in Pakistan. Methods: A descriptive correlational study was conducted

from May 2021 to September 2021 having a sample size of 173 having a consecutive sampling

technique in Khyber teaching hospital and Hayat Abad medical complex Peshawar. Data was

collected through valid and reliable instruments that are: Kessler Psychological Distress Scale

(k-10), psychological adaptation scale (PSA), and The Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being

scale (WEMWBS) were used for data collection. Microsoft Excel and SPSS were used for analysis

of mean, standard deviation, independent t-test and Pearson correlation. Results: In this study

the number of male participants was higher (56.6%) than female participants (43.4%). The k-10 means score were (34.42), PSA (80.86) and Well-being was (59). Independent t-test findings

were (k-10) p-value=0.713, (PSA) p-value= 1.501 and well-being p-value (0,795). The Pearson

correlation shows that psychological distress was strongly correlated with PSA(p-value=0.002),

and well-being (p-value=0.001). Conclusions: The study concluded that patient were physically,

psychological, and emotionally affected after covid-19, so hospitalized patients received only

physical treatment regimens and the other aspects of holistic care, especially psychological

PJHS VOL. 3 Issue. 5 October 2022

such as COVID-19 are associated with an increased burden of deteriorated mental health and psychological distress along with the physical manifestations [7]. Psychological well-being has been defined as the "inter and intraindividual levels of positive functioning that can include one's relatedness with others and self-referent attitudes that include one's sense of mastery and personal growth [8]. Thus, psychological or mental well-being is mainly the emotional well-being and feelings of contemplation, joy, satisfaction, pro-social behavior, positive thinking and acting, and subjectively feeling and having good well-being [9]. Adaptation is an umbrella term encompassing a couple of types, including structural, physiological, and psychological. Psychological adaptation integrates and covers specifically the behavioral, cognitive, personality, attitude, and trait responses of the individual to cope as a result of stimulus. These include the evolved psychological mechanisms that modify the cognitive, psychomotor, and behavioral responses and the executive functions of the mind. In this regard, effective distress management necessitates successful adaptation, which includes coping strategies, improving self-esteem abilities, social integration, and spiritual well-being, among other things [10]. The purpose of this study was to explore the psychological distress, adaptation, and well-being among the survivors of COVID-19.

METHODS

The design of this study was correlational descriptive study and was conducted in the corona care units of Khyber Teaching Hospital (KTH) and Hayatabad medical complex (HMC) from May 2021 to September 2021. The study population was those patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19 and admitted to specialised corona units in both of the tertiary care hospitals in Peshawar. The sample size for the study was calculated through the Raosoft calculator having a 95% confidence level, with 5% error and 50% prevalence, which was finalised at 173. A consecutive sampling strategy was utilized by visiting the corona isolation centre units on specific days. The study was divided into two parts: part A collects demographic information about the patient, and part B collects data using three valid and reliable instruments. In this study, three instruments were used: The Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K-10) (it contains 10 questions with a 5-point Likert scale from 5 means "all the time" to 1 means "none of the time". The instrument chronbach alpha was 0.88 [11]. Psychological adaptation scale (PSA) (25 items, each worth 5 points) On the Likert scale, 1 means not at all to 5, very much. (Cronbach's alpha = 0.94) [12]. The Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS) contains 14 items with 5 points. On the Likert scale, 1 means "none of the time" and 5 means "all of the time". [13] Consistency (r = 0.94). The data collection process took approximately six to eight weeks to complete after approval from the KMU Advance Studies Review Board and Ethical Board. Data was collected by the primary investigator herself in the field. The investigator filled out questionnaires with the participants in the English language medium. Descriptive analyses (frequencies, percentages, and means) were obtained and depicted in tables and graphs. An independent t test was applied to categorical variables with the mean score on the K10, PSA, and WEMWBS scales (Gender, Education, Employment, and Marital status). For continuous variables (age, disease duration), the mean and SD were calculated, and correlation were applied for association among the study instruments.

RESULTS

The total number of participants in this study was 173. The number of male participants was higher (56.6%) than female participants (43.4%). Patients aged 46 to 55 years old are the maximum number of participants in this age group. Married patients were also higher (78%) compared to singles (20.2%) as seen in table 1.

| Characteristics | | Frequency (n-173) (%) | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Gender | Male | 98(56.6%) | | |
| Gender | Female | 75(43.4%) | | |
| Age | 25 and below | 32(18.5%) | | |
| | 26 to 35 | 32(18.5%) | | |
| | 36 to 45 | 37(21.4%) | | |
| | 46 to 55 | 38(22.0%) | | |
| | 56 to 65 | 24(13.8%) | | |
| | 66 and above | 10(5.8%) | | |
| | Single | 35(20.2%) | | |
| Marital status | Married | 135(78.0%) | | |
| | Widowed | 03(1.8%) | | |
| Religion | Islam | 172(99.4%) | | |
| | Other | 01(0.6%) | | |
| | Uneducated | 70(40.5%) | | |
| Education | Primary to High | 82(47.4%) | | |
| | Graduate and above | 21(12.1%) | | |
| | No jobs | 74(42.8%) | | |
| Profession | Students | 12(6.9%) | | |
| | Self-business | 87(51.2%) | | |

Table 1: Demographic data of the participants

Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation scores of the participants. The participants' psychological distress (K-10) mean score was (34.42 ± 6.46), their psychological adaptation (PSA) mean score was (80.86 ± 6.82), and their well-being was(59 ± 4.43).

| Variable | Mean ±SD | Median | Min | Max |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Psychological distress (K10) | 34.42±6.46 | 35.00 | 18.00 | 48.00 |
| Psychological adaptation (PSA) | 80.86±6.82 | 81.00 | 67.00 | 97.00 |
| Well-being (WEMWBS) | 59.00±4.43 | 60.00 | 47.00 | 68.00 |

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of the participants

In Table 3 An independent t-test was applied to compare the mean score of all three variables. The t-values of psychological distress were (0.713), adaptation (1.501), and well-being (0.795), while the p-value shows no significant association.

| Independent t-test | Gender | Ν | Mean ±SD | Т | p-value |
|--------------------|--------|----|------------|-------|---------|
| Total K10 Score | Male | 98 | 34.73±6.60 | 717 | .477 |
| | Female | 75 | 34.02±6.29 | .713 | |
| Total PSA Score | Male | 98 | 81.54±6.26 | 1.501 | .135 |
| | Female | 75 | 79.97±7.44 | 1.501 | |
| Total WEMWBS Score | Male | 98 | 59.23±4.22 | .795 | .428 |
| | Female | 75 | 58.69±4.71 | ./95 | |

Table 3: Independent t-test of stress, adaptation and well-being Table 4 shows that psychological distress (K-10) has strong correlation with psychological adaptation (PSA) p-value (0.002) and well-being (WEMWBS) p-value (0.001), while the age have no association with psychological distress, psychological adaptation and well-being.

| Variable | K-Distress scale | | PSA | | WEMWBS | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---------|
| | R | p-value | R | p-value | R | p-value |
| Distress scale (k-10) | | | 232** | .002 | 248** | .001 |
| Age | 032 | .672 | .066 | .388 | .012 | .879 |

Table 4: Correlation of K-10 with selected variables

DISCUSSION

The study participants were those who had previously had a COVID-19 PCR positive and when the repeated PCR becomes negative within and up to a maximum of 10 days' time, either hospitalized or quarantined, were selected for the study. The likelihood of psychological effects such as confusion, stress, anxiety, depression, anger, fear, distress, and post-traumatic disorders increased during self-isolation and guarantine [14-16]. The Italian study in which 20,115 participants recorded their responses prior to the 14 days of guarantine reported an overall psychological impact of 48.6%, including mild to moderate (43.4%) and severe psychological impact of (5.2%)[17]. Another study in Iran also reported a psychological distress of 59% in the study participants during the COVID-19 pandemic [18]. The results of these studies are far much less as compared to the psychological distress of the current study, in which mild distress was reported by (5.8%), followed by moderate distress (13.9%), and severe distress among the participants was reported at 78.6%). These differences are explained and caused by a variety of factors, one of which is that only 9.7% of respondents in the Italian study had had close contact with Covid-19 positive patients, while the rest of the population had no health problems in the DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.255

previous 14 quarantined days [17]. Similarly, health care professionals as compared to the general public show an increased level of prevalence, such as (70% in China, an Italian study, and 80% in Spain in studies) in terms of psychological distress because the health care professionals fear and emotional burnout from frequent contact with patients or viruses [19, 20, 21]. Similar psychological responses were observed in a Malaysian study in which during the early phases of the COVID-19 pandemic, 72.1% of moderate to severe anxiety responses were recorded [22]. A study conducted in Egypt on community psycho-behavioral responses during COVID-19 outbreaks found 82% mild to moderate anxiety among the participants [23]. Another reason for increased psychological distress, as reported by (85.5%) health care professionals, is the potential transmission of disease to their families and relatives [24], which may be the case and reason for increased psychological distress in the current study participants as well. A study found that the internet also plays a negative role in spreading fear regarding COVID-19 according to the study participants in Pakistan [25]. In the general population, the level or prevalence of psychological distress reported has been considerably lower as compared to front liners, migrants and expats, pre-existing mental health disorders, prisoners, etc., but a study reported 72% psychological distress or morbidity in the general population during the long down in Spain and attributed this high prevalence rate to the alarm is greater than previous pandemics and affected the people of the country in a different fashion [20]. Studies included a meta-analysis systematic review of 68 studies from 19 countries with a total of 288,830 participants reported the prevalence of anxiety and depression (33%), but interpreted that one out of three adults in the general public has the predominant risk of psychological distress during COVID-19 [26]. Contrary to the similarities in the prevalence of psychological distress with the studies, a study in Saudi Arabia on 739 participants scored 35% psychological distress on the Kessler 10 scale [27], which is notably less than the results of the current study. In psychological adaptation and mental well-being, both variables in the male gender category scored better. When these findings were compared to the majority of the studies that appeared to be in opposition, most of the studies showed that female gender usually tends to score higher on psychological distress due to additional work burden and psychophysiological differences [28-30]. The mean score of mental well-being was 48.45 + 10 on the WEMWBS scales, which was measured for students as an impact of COVID-19 on mental well-being [31]. This is far different than the mean score of 59 + 4.43 (SD) of mental well-being of the participants for the current study.

Consistent with the findings of other studies, successful adaptation, resilience, and protective coping mechanisms have appeared to be positively associated with psychological well-being [32]. Adaptive mechanisms and coping mechanisms among management students during COVID-19 played a protective and positive role by overcoming psychological distress [33]. Psychological distress is negatively associated with adaptation and mental well-being in this study. The results are concurrent with a study in which COVID-19 related perceived stress is negatively associated with mental well-being [34]. Moreover, psychological adaptation was strongly positively correlated with mental well-being in this study, which is compatible with the studies in which a group of collective coping strategies were significantly associated with the mental well-being of the participants during lock-down [35-37].

CONCLUSIONS

Along with the deleterious impact on physical health, psychological distress stigmatized and crippled individuals mentally, emotionally, socially, culturally, spiritually, and financially. This study also concluded that during hospitalization, the patients received only physical treatment regimens and the other aspects of holistic care, especially psychological care, were ignored by the healthcare professionals. Policymakers, health authorities, and health care professionals should be aware of mental health facts and consider vulnerable people and people at increased risk of negative psychological and social consequences, as well as successful adaptation strategies toward achieving mental and holistic well-being.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Source of Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article

REFERENCES

- [1] Sultan A. Side Effects of COVID-19 Vaccines among the Vaccine Recipients of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. Journal of Farkhanda Institute of Nursing And Public Health (JFINPH). 2022 Jun; 2(1):2-8.
- [2] Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. The Lancet infectious diseases. 2020 May;20(5):533-4.
- [3] Pakistan N. COVID-19 Health Advisory Platform by Ministry of National Health Services Regulations and Coordination. COVID-19 Health Advisory Platform by Ministry of National Health Services Regulations and Coordination. 2020.
- [4] Cennimo DJ, Bergman SJ, Olsen KM, Bronze MS,

Miller MM. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid19), Practice Essentials, Background, Route of Transmission.Medscape2020.

- [5] Viertiö S, Kiviruusu O, Piirtola M, Kaprio J, Korhonen T, Marttunen M, et al. Factors contributing to psychological distress in the working population, with a special reference to gender difference. BMC Public Health. 2021 Mar; 21(1):611. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-10560-y.
- [6] Vagni M, Maiorano T, Giostra V, Pajardi D. Coping with COVID-19: emergency stress, secondary trauma and self-efficacy in healthcare and emergency workers in Italy. Frontiers in psychology. 2020 Sep; 11:566912.
- [7] Chao M, Chen X, Liu T, Yang H, Hall BJ. Psychological distress and state boredom during the COVID-19 outbreak in China: the role of meaning in life and media use. The European Journal of Psychotraumatology. 2020 Jul; 11(1):1769379. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2020.1769379.
- [8] Burns R. Psychosocial well-being. Encyclopedia of geropsychology. 2016; 3:13-7.
- [9] Ryff CD, Love GD, Urry HL, Muller D, Rosenkranz MA, et al. Psychological well-being and ill-being: do they have distinct or mirrored biological correlates? Psychotherapy and psychosomatics. 2006; 75(2):85-95.
- [10] Biesecker BB, Erby LH, Woolford S, Adcock JY, Cohen JS, Lamb A, et al. Development and validation of the Psychological Adaptation Scale (PAS): use in six studies of adaptation to a health condition or risk. Patient education and counseling. 2013 Nov; 93(2):248-54.
- [11] Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Zamorski MA, Colman I. The psychometric properties of the 10-item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) in Canadian military personnel. PLoS One. 2018 Apr;13(4):e0196562. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0196562.
- [12] Berrios CD, Chakravarti A, Biesecker BB. High Levels of Interest in Reproductive Genetic Information in Parents of Children and Adults With Hirschsprung Disease. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 2019 Sep; 69(3):299-305. doi: 10.1097/MPG. 00000000002392.
- [13] Tennant R, Hiller L, Fishwick R, Platt S, Joseph S, Weich S, et al. The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale (WEMWBS): development and UK validation. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2007 Nov; 5:63. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-5-63.
- [14] Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid

PJHS VOL. 3 Issue. 5 October 2022

review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020 Mar; 395(10227):912-920. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20) 30460-8.

- [15] Banerjee D. The COVID-19 outbreak: Crucial role the psychiatrists can play. Asian Journal of Psychiatry. 2020 Apr; 50:102014. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102014.
- [16] Rana W, Mukhtar S, Mukhtar S. Mental health of medical workers in Pakistan during the pandemic COVID-19 outbreak. Asian Journal of Psychiatry. 2020 Jun; 51:102080. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102080.
- [17] Bonati M, Campi R, Zanetti M, Cartabia M, Scarpellini F, Clavenna A, et al. Psychological distress among Italians during the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) quarantine. BMC Psychiatry. 2021 Jan; 21(1):20. doi:10.1186/s12888-020-03027-8.
- [18] Jahanshahi AA, Dinani MM, Madavani AN, Li J, Zhang SX. The distress of Iranian adults during the Covid-19 pandemic - More distressed than the Chinese and with different predictors. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity - Health. 2020 Jul; 87:124-125. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.081.
- [19] Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Network Open. 2020 Mar; 3(3):e203976. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976.
- [20] Gómez-Salgado J, Domínguez-Salas S, Romero-Martín M, Ortega-Moreno M, García-Iglesias JJ, Ruiz-Frutos C. Sense of coherence and psychological distress among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain. Sustainability. 2020 Aug;12(17):6855.
- [21] Simione L and Gnagnarella C. Differences Between Health Workers and General Population in Risk Perception, Behaviors, and Psychological Distress Related to COVID-19 Spread in Italy. Frontiers in Psychology. 2020 Sep; 11:2166. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg. 2020.02166.
- [22] Wong LP and Alias H. Temporal changes in psychobehavioural responses during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic in Malaysia. Journal of behavioral medicine. 2021 Feb; 44(1):18-28. doi: 10.1007/s10865-020-00172-z
- [23] Srivastava D, Shekhawat H, Shelke U. Study of intrauterine insemination with hydrotubation in women with infertility. Journal of Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences University. 2021 Jan; 16(1):1.
- [24] Tsehay M, Belete A, Necho M. Factors Associated with Psychological Distress and Brief Resilient Coping Level During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Health-Care Professionals in Dessie, Ethiopia.

Psychology Research and Behavior Management. 2020 Dec; 13:1213-1221. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S288562.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.255

- [25] Svensson I. Identifying inhibitors and motivatorsfor writing code with high readabilityand what we can do about it: A study of motivation to write code with highreadability 2022.
- [26] Wang Y, Kala MP, Jafar TH. Factors associated with psychological distress during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on the predominantly general population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2020 Dec; 15(12):e0244630. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244630.
- [27] Abdelghani M, El-Gohary HM, Fouad E, Hassan MS. Addressing the relationship between perceived fear of COVID-19 virus infection and emergence of burnout symptoms in a sample of Egyptian physicians during COVID-19 pandemic: a crosssectional study. Middle East Current Psychiatry. 2020 Dec; 27(1):1-9.
- [28] Choi I, Kim JH, Kim N, Choi E, Choi J, Suk HW, et al. How COVID-19 affected mental well-being: An 11week trajectories of daily well-being of Koreans amidst COVID-19 by age, gender and region. PLoS One. 2021 Apr; 16(4):e0250252. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0250252.
- [29] Del Río-Casanova L, Sánchez-Martín M, García-Dantas A, González-Vázquez A, Justo A. Psychological Responses According to Gender during the Early Stage of COVID-19 in Spain. International journal of environmental health research. 2021 Apr;18(7):3731. doi: 10.3390/ijerph 18073731.
- [30] Al-Hanawi MK, Mwale ML, Alshareef N, Qattan AMN, Angawi K, Almubark R, et al. Psychological Distress Amongst Health Workers and the General Public During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Saudi Arabia. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy. 2020 Jul; 13:733-742. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S264037.
- [31] Aqeel M, Abbas J, Shuja KH, Rehna T, Ziapour A, Yousaf I, et al. The influence of illness perception, anxiety and depression disorders on students mental health during COVID-19 outbreak in Pakistan: a webbased cross-sectional survey. International Journal of Human Rights in Healthcare. 2021 Aug.
- [32] Shanahan L, Steinhoff A, Bechtiger L, Murray AL, Nivette A, Hepp U, et al. Emotional distress in young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: evidence of risk and resilience from a longitudinal cohort study. Psychological medicine. 2022 Apr; 52(5):824-833. doi:10.1017/S003329172000241X.
- [33] Sundaray BK, Sarangi P, Patra SK. Psychological vulnerability and coping among management

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.255

students during COVID-19 pandemic. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice. 2021 Aug.

- [34] Aslam N, Shafique K, Ahmed A. Exploring the impact of COVID-19-related fear, obsessions, anxiety and stress on psychological well-being among adults in Pakistan. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice. 2021 Jul.
- [35] Salman M, Asif N, Mustafa ZU, Khan TM, Shehzadi N, Tahir H, et al. Psychological Impairment and Coping Strategies During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Students in Pakistan: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness . 2020 Oct; 1-7. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2020.397.
- [36] Khan AA, Lodhi FS, Rabbani U, Ahmed Z, Abrar S, et al. Impact of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic on Psychological Well-Being of the Pakistani General Population. Front Psychiatry. 2021 Jan;11:564364. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.564364.
- [37] Rogowska AM, Kuśnierz C, Bokszczanin A. Examining Anxiety, Life Satisfaction, General Health, Stress and Coping Styles During COVID-19 Pandemic in Polish Sample of University Students. Psychology Research and Behavior Management. 2020 Sep;13:797-811. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S266511.