lip

PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

(LAHORE) https://thejas.com.pk/index.php/pjhs ISSN (P): 2790-9352, (E): 2790-9344 Volume 5, Issue 11 (November 2024)



Original Article

Evaluation of Maternal Near Miss (MNM) Events and Maternal Mortality at Tertiary Care Hospital

Hina Murtaza^r, Shifa Panhyar², Erum Memon³, Baby Uroosa⁴, Farah Liaquat⁵ and Mubashir Hussain⁶

¹Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Suleman Roshan Medical College and Hospital, Tandodam, Pakistan ²Government Dispensary, Lsb Colony–People's Primary Healthcare Initiative, Jamshoro, Pakistan ³Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan

⁴Rural Health Centre, Bhitshah, Pakistan

⁵Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Bagai Medical University, Karachi, Pakistan

⁶Department of Community Medicine, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Hemorrhage, Hypertensive Disorder, Maternal Near-Miss, Maternal Mortality

How to Cite:

Murtaza, H., Panhyar, S., Memon, E., Uroosa, B., Liaquat, F., & Hussain, M. (2024). Evaluation of Maternal Near Miss (MNM) Events and Maternal Mortality at Tertiary Care Hospital: Maternal Near Miss (MNM) Events and Maternal Mortality . Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences, 5(11). https://doi.org/10.5 4393/pjhs.v5i11.2538

*Corresponding Author:

Hina Murtaza

Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Suleman Roshan Medical College and Hospital, Tandoadam, Pakistan

doctormurtaza881@gmail.com

Received Date: 3rd October, 2024 Acceptance Date: 21st November, 2024 Published Date: 30th November, 2024

INTRODUCTION

Maternal health is the key parameter of the overall quality and accessibility of healthcare the world over. World Health Organization(WHO) estimates more than 300,000 maternal deaths and about ten million women facing complications of pregnancy, childbirth or the post-natal period in a single year (2020). To address these, events of maternal nearmiss(MNM) are identified as those that occur in women who were severely affected by complications during pregnancy or within 42 days following termination of pregnancy but survived [1, 2]. With multiple commonalities with maternal deaths; these show a vital means to identify gaps in the

ABSTRACT

Maternal Near-Miss events are critical indicators of the quality of maternal healthcare, representing instances where women survive severe obstetric complications that could have resulted in death. Objectives: To find out the characteristics and causes of maternal near-miss events and maternal mortality at Liaguat University Hospital Hyderabad/Jamshoro. Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 233 pregnant and postpartum women of were within 42 days of childbirth, who presented with severe morbidity or early maternal mortality. The duration of the study was from January 1 2021 to June 30 2021. Women undergoing uncomplicated cesarean sections or normal vaginal deliveries, as well as cases of mild anemia, mild-to-moderate hypertension, and Class I and II hemorrhages (less than 30% blood loss), were excluded. Data were collected on demographic characteristics and clinical details such as the mode of delivery, timing of near-miss events concerning admission, patient presentation, surgical interventions performed to save the mother's life, and obstetric complications. Results: The mean age of maternal near-miss cases was 25.5 ± 5.5 years. Most maternal nearmiss cases occurred among multiparous, rural, un-booked women aged 26-30 years. The leading causes of maternal near-miss were hemorrhage (44.2%), hypertensive disorders (35.1%), and dystocia (12%), followed by sepsis and severe anemia (4.2% each). A significant proportion, 176 cases (75.5%), required intensive care unit admission, with a mean hospital stay of 14.5 days. Conclusions: It was concluded that hemorrhage and hypertensive disorder were found to be the most common causes of maternal near-miss cases.

> healthcare system [3]. Maternal health has benefits over the years on a global scale with such improvements being more pronounced in low and middle-income countries however there is still a long way to go. The maternal mortality rate (MMR) in Pakistan improved from 375 per 100,000 live births in 1995 to 178 per 100,000 live births in 2019[4]. Such a decrease in MMR indicates improvements in maternal healthcare services focused on women. However, the maternal mortality rate is still a public health problem with most women dying from hemorrhage, hypertensive disorder and sepsis as documented causes

[5]. MNM is gaining global attention as a useful measure of maternal health care. WHO defines it as "A woman who almost died but survived a complication of pregnancy, childbirth and pregnancy termination in the 42-days postpregnancy" [3]. Survival does not occur by chance; it requires timely interventions to prevent death from severe obstetric complications that arise during pregnancy [6]. Different criteria for identifying MNM cases have been proposed, which can be categorized under diseasespecific, management-specific and organ-system dysfunction-based approaches. Hemorrhage continues to be the leading cause of MNM and maternal mortality. A study reported hemorrhage as the cause in 44.2% of MNMs cases, hypertensive disorder in (35.1%), dystocia (12%) and sepsis(4.2%)[7]. These results highlight the importance of timely identification and management of lapses during obstetric complications. While global studies on MNM have provided valuable insights, there is limited research specific to the Pakistani population. Given the high burden of maternal complications in the region, understanding the prevalence and causes of MNM is essential to improve maternal outcomes. MNM investigations can help identify high-risk groups, plan interventions for managing obstetric emergencies, and strengthen healthcare systems to prevent maternal deaths.

This study aims to address this gap by evaluating the characteristics and causes of MNM in a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics Unit-I, Liaguat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Hyderabad from January 1 2021 to June 30 2021. A sample size of 233 participants was included, consisting of pregnant and postpartum women within 42 days of childbirth who presented with severe morbidity or early maternal mortality. Exclusion criteria included women undergoing uncomplicated cesarean sections or normal vaginal deliveries, as well as cases of mild anemia, mild-tomoderate hypertension, and Class I and II hemorrhages (less than 30% blood loss). The sample size was calculated via Open Epi Sample Size Calculator with the prevalence of maternal near misses as 18.6% with a 5% margin of error and 95% confidence interval [4]. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Liaguat University of Medical & Health Sciences, Jamshoro, vide letter (NO.LUMHS/REC/-1003). All eligible patients meeting the inclusion criteria, as defined by the WHO protocol for maternal near-miss events [8], were registered using a pre-designed Performa. Informed written consent in local languages (Urdu and Sindhi) was taken from each

participant after a complete debriefing about the study and before enrollment. The WHO clinical criteria include acute cyanosis, shock, or loss of consciousness lasting over 12 hours, while laboratory parameters include oxygen saturation below 90% for more than 60 minutes, creatinine \geq 3.5 mg/dL, or lactate >5 mEg/mL[8]. Data were collected on demographic characteristics, including age, parity, gestational age at admission, booking status, referral status, and mode of admission. Clinical details such as the mode of delivery, timing of near-miss events concerning admission, patient presentation, surgical interventions performed to save the mother's life, and obstetric complications leading to MNM events or maternal deaths were documented. Additionally, data on organ-system dysfunction or failure, Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admissions, and maternal mortality were collected. Patients were categorized by final diagnosis into direct causes (e.g., hypertension, hemorrhage, sepsis) and indirect causes (e.g., anemia, cardiac disease) contributing to maternal near-miss events and deaths. The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 23.0, focusing on descriptive statistics to identify characteristics and causes of MNM events and maternal mortality.

RESULTS

The mean age of maternal near-miss (MNM) cases was 25.5 \pm 5.5 years, with most women (44.2%) aged between 26–30 years. A majority resided in rural areas (57.1%) and were unbooked for antenatal care (73.8%). Multiparous women accounted for 82.4% of cases. Emergency admissions were predominant (88.0%), and 57.9% of cases had gestational ages \geq 34 weeks (Table 1).

 Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Maternal Near-Miss

 Cases(n=233)

| Demographic Characteristics | n (%) | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Mean Age (Years ± SD) | 25.5 ± 5.5 | | |
| Age Groups | | | |
| 20-25 Years | 96(41.2%) | | |
| 26–30 Years | 103 (44.2%) | | |
| 31–35 Years | 20(8.6%) | | |
| 36-40 Years | 14 (6.0%) | | |
| Residence | | | |
| Urban | 100 (42.9%) | | |
| Rural | 133 (57.1%) | | |
| Booking Status | | | |
| Booked | 61(26.2%) | | |
| Un-booked | 172 (73.8%) | | |
| Parity Status | | | |
| Primipara | 41(17.6%) | | |
| Multipara | 192 (82.4%) | | |
| Mode of Admission | | | |
| Emergency | 205(88.0%) | | |
| Outpatients Department (OPD) | 28(12.0%) | | |

Amongst mode of delivery, most of the participants i.e. 55% (n=128)had emergency cesarean sections, followed by assisted/spontaneous vaginal deliveries i.e. 40% (n=93). Elective cesarean sections were reported in least proportion i.e. 5% (n=12)(Figure 1).



Figure 1: Mode of Delivery among participants with MNM(N=233) Hypertensive disorders were the leading causes of MNM, with eclampsia (24.9%) and severe pre-eclampsia (10.3%) being significant contributors. Severe hemorrhage, including ectopic pregnancy (15.5%), abortion (5.6%), antepartum hemorrhage (9.9%), and postpartum hemorrhage (13.3%), accounted for 44.3% of cases. Other notable causes included uterine rupture (11.6%), puerperal sepsis (3.4%), severe anemia (4.3%), and chorioamnionitis (0.9%)(Table 2).

Table 2: Causes of Maternal Near-Miss(n=233)

| Causes of MNM | n (%) | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Hypertensive Disorders | | | |
| Severe Pre-Eclampsia | 24(10.3%) | | |
| Eclampsia | 58(24.9%) | | |
| Severe Hemorrhage in Early Pregnancy | | | |
| Ectopic | 36(15.5%) | | |
| Abortion | 13 (5.6%) | | |
| Severe Hemorrhage in Late Pregnancy | | | |
| Antepartum Hemorrhage | 23 (9.9%) | | |
| Severe Hemorrhage After Pregnancy | | | |
| Postpartum Hemorrhage | 31(13.3%) | | |
| Sepsis | | | |
| Puerperal Sepsis | 8(3.4%) | | |
| Chorioamnionitis | 2(0.9%) | | |
| Dystocia | | | |
| Uterine Rupture | 27(11.6%) | | |
| Impending Rupture | 1(0.4%) | | |
| Anemia | | | |
| Severe Anemia | 10(4.3%) | | |

Regarding complications, 75.5% of women required ICU admission, 79.4% were discharged within seven days, and 20.6% had prolonged hospital stays. Organ dysfunction was observed in various systems, with neurological (10.7%), hematological (9.9%), and coagulation (8.2%) dysfunctions being the most frequent (Table 3).

Table 3: Complications Related to Maternal Near-Miss among study participants(n=233)

| Complications | n (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|
| Total ICU Admissions | 176 (75.5%) |

| Б Ше V | 01 Eleculo 11 Nov 202/ | |
|---------------|---------------------------|--|
| FURS V | UL. 3 1550E. 11 110V 2024 | |
| | | |

| Duration of Hospital Stay | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| ≤7 Days | 185(79.4%) | | |
| >7 Days (Prolonged Hospital Stay) | 48(20.6%) | | |
| Organ Dysfunctions | | | |
| Neurological | 25(10.7%) | | |
| Respiratory | 10(4.3%) | | |
| Cardiac | 8(3.4%) | | |
| Hematological | 23 (9.9%) | | |
| Coagulation | 19(8.2%) | | |
| Hepatic | 10(4.3%) | | |
| Renal | 16(6.9%) | | |
| Uterine | 13 (5.6%) | | |
| Multiple System Involvement | 7(3.0%) | | |

DISCUSSION

The mean age of maternal near-miss cases in this study was 25.5 years, consistent with findings by Zafar et al., [9] (24.58 years) and Wasim et al., (28.9 years) [10]. Most cases occurred in women aged 20-30 years, which is comparable to studies by Yasmin et al., and Naik et al., showing that the majority of maternal near-miss cases fell within this age range [11, 12]. Rural residency was predominant among the cases, with 57.08% coming from rural areas, similar to findings by Ojha et al., where 74.8% of near-miss cases were from rural regions [13]. Un-booked status was a significant factor, with 74% of cases being un-booked. This finding aligns with Zahoor et al., where 84.16% of cases were un-booked, highlighting the critical role of antenatal care in preventing maternal morbidity [14]. Multi-parity was more common among maternal near-miss cases (82.4%) compared to primiparity (17.5%), which aligns with studies by Yasmin et al., and Naik et al., both reporting higher proportions of multiparous women among near-miss cases [11, 12]. Regarding gestational age, 57.08% of patients were \geq 34 weeks at admission, consistent with findings from Naik et al., where the majority of cases occurred after 34 weeks of gestation [12]. Socioeconomic disparities were evident, with 70.8% of cases belonging to socioeconomically poor groups, corroborating findings by Ojha et al., and Naik et al., who reported similar trends [14, 12]. Emergency admissions accounted for 88% of maternal near-miss cases, as seen in studies by Ugwa et al., and Yasmin et al., emphasizing the importance of timely referrals and interventions to prevent adverse outcomes [15, 11]. Emergency cesarean sections were the most common mode of delivery (55%), followed by spontaneous vaginal deliveries (40%), similar to the distribution reported by Yasmin et al., [11]. A notable proportion of cases (55.3%) were referred from other healthcare facilities, which aligns with findings by Verma et al., where 88.7% of near-miss cases were referrals [16]. Hemorrhage (44.2%) was the leading cause of maternal near-miss followed by hypertensive disorders (35.1%) and dystocia (12%). Wasim et al., and Yasmin et al, reported similar findings as

hemorrhage and hypertensive disorders were the most common cause of maternal near-miss [10, 11]. Sepsis and severe anemia, which stresses the multi-causative nature of maternal morbidity, also contributed. Similar to the results by Wasim et al., [10], cases of maternal mortality were more often due to hemorrhage and hypertensive disorders [17]. An organ dysfunction was commonly seen among maternal near-miss cases. Neurological (10.7%), hematological (9.8%), coagulation, renal, uterine, hepatic, and respiratory dysfunction were the four most frequent types of dysfunction observed Such results were also similar to those reported by Manyahi et al., and the work of Chama et al., who described similar organ dysfunction patterns among near-miss cases [18, 19]. Surgical and therapeutic procedures were frequent; laparotomy (27%), hysterectomy (5.5%) and hypertension disorders of pregnancy based on an indication received magnesium sulfate therapy in 24.4% of individuals. A total of 27.8% of patients required blood transfusions, and 6.4% needed ventilator assistance. The interventions were similar to those reported by Manyahi et al., and Ingole et al., [18, 20]. The findings of this study highlight the critical need for improving access to antenatal care, particularly for women in rural areas and low socioeconomic groups. Strengthening emergency obstetric care and ensuring timely referrals from lower-level facilities can significantly reduce maternal morbidity and mortality.

CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that maternal near-miss cases primarily involved un-booked, rural, and multiparous women, emphasizing the need for improved antenatal care and timely access to emergency services. Hypertensive disorders and severe hemorrhage were the leading causes, with ICU admissions and organ dysfunctions being significant complications.. Expanding critical care access and implementing targeted interventions can significantly improve maternal health outcomes and reduce near-miss events.

Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: HM Methodology: HM, SP, EM, BU, MH Formal analysis: FL Writing review and editing: SP, EM

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Conflicts of Interest

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] England N, Madill J, Metcalfe A, Magee L, Cooper S, Salmon C et al. Monitoring Maternal Near Miss/Severe Maternal Morbidity: A Systematic Review of Global Practices. Plos One.2020 May; 15(5): e0233697. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0233697.
- [2] Ingole SJ and Chaudhary SN. Maternal Near Miss Events in Tertiary Care Hospital: A Retrospective Observational Study. Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research. 2021Apr; 15(4). doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2021/47 901.14772.
- [3] Chinn JJ, Eisenberg E, Dickerson SA, King RB, Chakhtoura N, Lim IA et al. Maternal Mortality in the United States: Research Gaps, Opportunities, and Priorities. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.2020 Oct; 223(4): 486-92. doi:10.1016/j.ajo g.2020.07.021.
- [4] Abdollahpour S, Miri HH, Khadivzadeh T. The Global Prevalence of Maternal Near Miss: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Health Promotion Perspectives. 2019; 9(4): 255. doi: 10.15171/hpp.2019.3 5.
- [5] Sitaula S, Basnet T, Agrawal A, Manandhar T, Das D, Shrestha P. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Maternal Mortality at a Tertiary Care Centre in Eastern Nepal-Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study. BioMed Central Pregnancy and Childbirth.2021Dec; 21: 1-8. doi: 10.1186 /s12884-021-03920-4.
- [6] Anwar J, Torvaldsen S, Sheikh M, Taylor R. Under-Estimation of Maternal and Perinatal Mortality Revealed by an Enhanced Surveillance System: Enumerating All Births and Deaths in Pakistan. BioMed Central Public Health.2018 Dec; 18: 1-4. doi: 10.1186/s12 889-018-5363-3.
- [7] Rafiq S, Syed W, Ghaffar SF. Trends and Causes of Maternal Mortality in a Tertiary Care Hospital Over Five Years: 2013-2017. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2019 Jul; 35(4): 1128. doi: 10.12669/pjms.35 .4.1091.
- [8] Verschueren KJ, Kodan LR, Paidin RR, Samijadi SM, Paidin RR, Rijken MJ et al. Applicability of the WHO Maternal Near-Miss Tool: A Nationwide Surveillance Study in Suriname. Journal of Global Health.2020 Dec; 10(2). doi: 10.7189/jogh.10.020429.
- [9] Zafar SM, Javed M, Ilyas M, Mughal MA. Maternal Near Miss: Data from a Tertiary Care Hospital of Lahore. Annals of Punjab Medical College.2020 Sep; 14(3): 214-7. doi: 10.29054/apmc/2020.931.
- [10] Wasim T, Raana GE, Wasim M, Mushtaq J, Amin Z, Asghar S. Maternal Near-Miss, Mortality and Their Correlates at a Tertiary Care Hospital. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2021 Jul; 71(7):

1843-8. doi: 10.47391/jpma.05-678.

- [11] Yasmin G, Najam R, Ghazi S, Lalwani A. Maternal Near Miss Events: A Prospective Observational Study in a Tertiary Care Centre. International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2016 Sep; 5(9): 3088-93. doi: 10.18203/23 20-1770.ijrcog20162991.
- [12] Naik SS and Ghosh S. Comparison of Near Miss Obstetric Events and Maternal Deaths in a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital from Eastern India. International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology.2018 Sep; 7(9): 3619-25.doi :10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20183764.
- [13] Ojha V, Chaurasia A, Singh S, Sachan N, Siddiqui S. Evaluation of Maternal Near Miss Cases in Tertiary Care Centre. Age(Years). 2019; 20: 20. doi: 10.21276/ob gyn.2019.6.1.11.
- [14] Zahoor F. Maternal Health Care in Tertiary Hospital in Terms of Maternal Near Misses (MNM) Indicators. Journal of Medical Sciences. 2021 Dec; 29(04): 239-42. doi: 10.52764/jms.21.29.4.5.
- [15] Ugwu GO, Iyoke CA, Ezugwu EC, Ajah LO, Onah HE, Ozumba BC. A Comparison of the Characteristics of Maternal Near-Misses and Maternal Deaths in Enugu, Southeast Nigeria: A 3-Year Prospective Study. International Journal of Women's Health.2020 Mar; 12:207-11. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S237221.
- [16] Verma V, Kanti V, Vishwakarma S, Gupta UK, Shree P. "Near-Miss" Obstetric Events and Maternal Deaths in a Rural Tertiary Care Center in North India.Cureus.2020 Dec; 12(12). doi: 10.7759/cureus.11828.
- [17] Akrawi VS, Al-Hadithi TS, Al-Tawil NG. Major Determinants of Maternal Near-Miss and Mortality at the Maternity Teaching Hospital, Erbil City, Iraq. Oman Medical Journal.2017Sep; 32(5): 386. doi: 10.5001/omj .2017.74.
- [18] Manyahi JR, Mgaya H, Said A. Maternal Near Miss and Mortality Attributable to Hypertensive Disorders in a Tertiary Hospital, Tanzania; A Cross-Sectional Study. BioMed Central Pregnancy and Childbirth.2020 Dec;20:1-7. doi: 10.1186/s12884-020-02930-y.
- [19] Chama CM, Etuk SJ, Oladapo OT. The Pattern and Spectrum of Severe Maternal Morbidities in Nigerian Tertiary Hospitals. African Journal of Reproductive Health. 2020Jul; 24(2): 115-22.
- [20]Ingole SJ and Chaudhary SN. Maternal Near Miss Events in Tertiary Care Hospital: A Retrospective Observational Study. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2021Apr; 15(4). doi: 10.7860/JC DR/2021/47901.14772.