PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

https://thejas.com.pk/index.php/pjhs ISSN (P): 2790-9352, (E): 2790-9344 Volume 5, Issue 6 (June 2024)



Original Article

Comparison of Pain Determination Between Celecoxib Tramadol in Third Molar Surgery

Eshnawar Ishaque¹, Suneel Kumar Punjabi¹, Mahavosh Qazi², Faiza Hasan³, Zunair Akbar Memon⁴, Sadia Hassan⁵ and Salman Shams⁵

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

²Department of Oral Medicine, Sir Syed Medical and Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan

³Department of Pharmacology, Fatima Jinnah Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan

⁴Department of Oral Medicine, Isra Dental College, Hyderabad, Pakistan

⁵Department of Oral Biology, Isra Dental College, Hyderabad, Pakistan

⁶Department of Oral Medicine, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Postoperative Pain, Maxillofacial, Third Molar Surgery, Celecoxib, Tramadol

How to Cite:

Ishaque, E., Punjabi, S. K., Qazi, M., Hasan, F., Memon, Z. A., Hassan, S., & Shams, S. (2024). Comparison of Pain Determination Between Celecoxib Tramadol in Third Molar Surgery: Comparative Pain Management. Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences, 5(06). https:// doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v5i06.1532

*Corresponding Author:

Salman Shams

Department of Oral Medicine, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, Pakistan salman.shams@lumhs.edu.pk

Received Date: 17thApril, 2024 Acceptance Date: 25th June, 2024 Pubished Date: 30th June, 2024

ABSTRACT

Selecting a reliable and well-tolerated analgesic to manage pain after surgical extraction of the third molar is still a difficult task. Objective: To compare the effect of celecoxib and tramadol in managing post-operative pain following third molar surgery. Methods: This cross-sectional research was conducted at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro. A total of 100 patients were included and equally allocated into two groups. Group-A received celecoxib, and Group-B received tramadol. Patients were assessed on follow-up visits on the 1st, 3rd, and 5th days post-surgery. Results: Data revealed a mean age of 28.72 ± 3.844 years for Group-A (celecoxib), consisting of 30 (60%) males and 20(40%) females out of 50 respondents. Group-B(Tramadol) exhibited a mean age of 28.28 ±3.511 years, including 29 (58%) males and 21 (42%) females. Preoperational and 1st day post-operational pain scores were similar for celecoxib and tramadol (median 8), with no significant difference (p-value= 0.180 and p-value= 0.874). By the 3rd day, celecoxib patients reported significantly lower pain (median 3, IQR 2-4) compared to tramadol (median 5, IQR 4-6), p<0.001. On the 5th day, celecoxib also had significantly lower pain (median 0, IQR 0-1) than tramadol (median 1, IQR 0-2), p<0.001. Conclusions: The study concludes that celecoxib is more effective than tramadol in mitigating pain following third molar surgery.

INTRODUCTION

The surgical procedure of extracting third molar teeth (wisdom teeth) in dentistry includes pericoronitis, disruption to neighboring teeth, related pathology, and non-restorable carious lesions and/or pulpal pathology [1, 2]. Local anesthesia is commonly used for third molar surgery, but more complicated cases may require General Anesthesia (GA) [3]. Inadequately treated postoperative pain can significantly impact the quality of life of patients [4, 5]. Despite the introduction of modern pain control guidelines and pharmacological advancements, the

management of postoperative pain in this context is often insufficient [6, 7]. Celecoxib and tramadol (NSAIDs) which are commonly used for pain management and are well known for the inhibition of cyclooxygenase COX1 and COX2 enzymes where COX1 is presented throughout the body and synthesizes protective prostaglandins in tissues such as the gastric mucosa, kidneys, and platelets, while COX2 is primarily expressed during inflammation [8-10]. However, the use of NSAIDs is contraindicated in individuals with peptic ulcers, bleeding disorders, aspirin allergy, or those taking anticoagulants or corticosteroids [11]. In such cases, tramadol may be a suitable alternative. Tramadol is a centrally acting mild mu-opioid receptor agonist and inhibits the reuptake of nor-adrenaline and serotonin, making it effective for managing mild to severe pain globally. On the other hand, celecoxib primarily inhibits COX2[12]. Certain studies have investigated the efficacy of medications like tramadol and celecoxib during third molar surgery, there is limited research comparing the effectiveness of oral celecoxib and tramadol for postoperative pain management after surgical extraction of third mandibular molars.

Hence, the aim of this research is to compare the analgesic potency of these medications. In maxillofacial surgery, third molar extraction is routine but can result in significant postoperative issues [13]. This study evaluated how celecoxib and tramadol mitigate these complications. This study aimed to examine the effectiveness of celecoxib and tramadol in managing postoperative pain following surgery for third molar impaction, thereby contributing to the development of personalized pain management strategies in this surgical domain.

METHODS

The study employed a comparative cross sectional design utilizing a cross-sectional comparative approach and was utilized with a convenient non-probability sampling technique at Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department, Institute of Dentistry, Liaquat University of Medical Health and Sciences Jamshoro from Dec 2020 to May 2021. Sample size was calculated through online sample size calculator Openepi Version 3.01 [DEFF*Np(1-p)]/ [(d2/Z21- $\alpha/2^{*}(N-1) + p^{*}(1-p)]$. Confidence limits as % of 100(absolute +/- %)(d): 5%. A total 100 patients were enrolled and were equally divided in 2 groups of celecoxib and tramadol as Group A and B respectively. The study's ethical approval was provided by research ethics committee of Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro (NO. LUMHS/REC/-980). Patients with either gender from the age 18-45 having mesioangular impacted lower third molar were included. Patients with Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD), Gastrointestinal Tract (GIT) upset or medically compromised patients having any malignancy, pregnant / lactating women, or patient having NASIDs allergy were not included in this study. Eligible participants who have provided informed consent. Patients were randomly assigned to treatment groups using the Port Chit process. Data was collected by predesigned questionnaire from the patients like postoperative pain score using Visual Analog Scale(VAS) along with age and gender. The VAS ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain imaginable) associated with mesioangular impacted mandibular third molars [5]. The extraction procedure was adhered to standard protocols under local anesthesia administered DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v5i06.1532

using traditional nerve block techniques, involving two 1.8 mL cartridges of 2 percent xylocaine with epinephrine 1: 100,000 (sourced from Korea) and supervised by a qualified individual. Surgical procedure involves using a sterile carbon steel surgical blade#15 (Feather Protection Razor Co. Ltd., Japan) for incision and a straight elevator for tooth elevation. Tooth extraction is performed meticulously, aided by circular bur in a slow-speed turbine with copious 0.9% saline irrigation (Searle Ltd., Pakistan), and instruments from Johnson & Johnson (USA). Hemostasis is ensured with sterile gauze (2 x 2) for 30 minutes. Postsurgery, patients receive Tab Augmentin 625mg BD, Tab Sanofi Aventis Palestine Metronidazole, and either Tab Flagyl 400mg BD, Tab Celbexx Celecoxib 100mg, or Tab Tramadol 100mg BD for pain management till next followup, alongside 5-day course of GlaxoSmithKline antibiotics (Amoxicillin + clavulanic acid). Follow-up appointments to determine the pain level were scheduled on the 1st, 3rd, and 5th days. The data was analyzed using SPSS version 22.0. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables, while mean and SD± will be computed for continuous variables like age and pain score. Normality of pain Score was assessed using Shapiro wilk test. Pain score was skewed (p=0.013). So non-parametric test i.e. Mann-Whitney U test was run to compare pain between two interventions. Other tests were employed as needed, including pre- and post-stratification. Significance was set atp<0.05.

RESULTS

100 eligible participants, evenly split by gender, aged 18 to 45, were enrolled to compare celecoxib with tramadol for pain in third molar surgery. They were divided equally into two groups: Group A (Celecoxib) and Group B (Tramadol). table 1 showed the mean age of the patients with the gender frequency of both the groups.

Table 1: Demographics of Respondents(n=100)

Variables	Celecoxib (Mean ± SD) / N (%)	Tramadol (Mean ± SD) / N (%)			
Mean Age (Years)	28.72 ± 3.844	28.28 ± 3.511			
Gender					
Male	30(60%)	29(58%)			
Female	20(40%)	21(42%)			

Table 2 showed the pain intensity levels for Group A (Celecoxib) during preoperative assessment exhibited mean values of 8.16 and a median of 8. Postoperatively, mean values decreased progressively to 7.92, 3.24, and 0.2 on the 1st, 3rd, and ^{5t}h days respectively, with corresponding median values of 8, 3, and 0. In Group B (Tramadol), preoperative pain intensity mean values were 8.34 with a median of 8, decreasing to 7.94, 4.56, and 0.8 on the 1st, 3rd, and 5th days respectively, with corresponding median values of 8, 5, and 1.

Table 2: Mean and Median of Pain in Two Interventions(n=100)

Pain		Celecoxib		Tramadol	
Pain	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
Preoperational Assessment	8.16	8	8.34	8	
Post-Operational Assessment of at 1 st Day		8	7.94	8	
Post-Operational Assessment at 3 rd Day		3	4.56	5	
Post-Operational Assessment at 5 th Day		0	0.86	1	

Preoperational and 1st day post-operational pain scores were similar between celecoxib and tramadol (median 8 for both), with no significant difference (p-value=0.180 and p-value=0.874, respectively). By the 3rd day post-operation, celecoxib patients reported significantly lower pain (median 3, IQR 2-4) compared to tramadol patients (median 5, IQR 4-6), with a p-value of <0.001. On the 5th day, celecoxib also showed significantly lower pain (median 0, IQR 0-1) than tramadol (median 1, IQR 0-2), with a p-value of <0.001(Table 3).

Table 3: Comparison of Pain at Various Time Points Between TwoInterventions(n=100)

Pain Assessment	Celecoxib Median (IQR)	Tramadol Median (IQR)	P- Value*
Preoperational assessment	8 (7-9)	8(6-9)	0.180
Post-operational assessment at 1st Day	8 (7-9)	8(7-9)	0.874
Post-operational assessment at 3 rd Day	3 (2-4)	5(4-6)	<0.001
Post-operational assessment at 5 th Day	0 (0-1)	1(0-2)	<0.001

* Mann Whitney Test

DISCUSSION

The challenge of achieving painless surgery persists, particularly in selecting appropriate analgesics. This study aimed to compare the pain determination of tramadol and celecoxib in mitigating pain following third molar surgery, with a focus on identifying the more effective agent in this context [8]. Third molar extraction stands as a common dentoalveolar procedure in maxillofacial surgery, often accompanied by transient postoperative discomfort. Celecoxib and tramadol are both widely employed for managing postoperative pain, trismus, and swelling. However, this investigation delves into the specific roles of tramadol and celecoxib in this surgical context[9]. Zamiri B et al., in 2009 conducted a comparative study involving ibuprofen, tramadol, and celecoxib for pain control post third molar extraction [14]. Their findings revealed superior pain severity reduction with ibuprofen compared to celecoxib at 4 and 8 hours' post-extraction, with both categories exhibiting lower severity relative to the tramadol group. In 2013, Yamaguchi and Sano explored preemptive analgesia for lower third molar surgery. They suggested that administering analgesics before surgery could prevent central sensitization resulting from tissue injury, NSAIDs, or acetaminophen, potentially reducing postsurgical peripheral sensitization [10]. However, their DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v5i06.1532

findings indicated that the advantage of pre-emptive analgesia using NSAIDs or opioids during lower third molar surgery was not significant in relieving pain [15-19]. Akinbade AO et al., evaluated mean Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores post-extraction, noting the lowest score in the celecoxib group (32.35 ± 23.96) at 4 hours, followed by ibuprofen (38.96 \pm 22.30), and the highest score in the tramadol group (53.31 ± 23.30) at the same interval [15, 20]. Statistically significant differences were observed in mean VAS scores at 4 hours' post-extraction (p-value= 0.003), favoring celecoxib. Celecoxib consistently yielded lower mean VAS scores at 8, 24, and 48 hours' post-extraction, suggesting its superior analgesic efficacy post-mandibular third molar extraction compared to ibuprofen and tramadol. In our study, we assessed pain intensity using the visual analog scale, revealing mean scores of 8,3,0 in patients treated with celecoxib versus 8,5,1 in tramadoltreated patients on the 1st, 3rd and 5th days post-surgery, respectively, with statistical significance at p < 0.05. These results suggest that celecoxib demonstrates greater effectiveness in reducing pain compared to tramadol in third molar surgery.

CONCLUSIONS

The study directly compares celecoxib and tramadol efficacy in third molar surgery, with celecoxib showing superior analgesic effects. Preoperative pain reduction on days 1, 3, and 5 was significantly greater with celecoxib compared to tramadol. Although tramadol displayed notable pain relief post mandibular third molar extraction, it was less effective than celecoxib in reducing both pain and trismus.

Authors Contribution

Conceptualization: SKP, SS, SKP Methodology: El Formal analysis: SH Writing, review and editing: MQ, FH, ZAM

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

$\mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{R} \to$

[1] Jeyashree T and Kumar MS. Evaluation of difficulty index of impacted mandibular third molar extractions. Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology & Research. 2022 Nov; 13(1): S98-101. doi: 10.4103/japtr.japtr_362_22.

- [2] Ayub K, Fazal M, Sattar M, Khan L, Malik A, Afzaal A. Pattern of Mandibular Third Molar Impaction in Patients from Twin Cities of Pakistan. Journal of Women Medical and Dental College. 2023 Jan; 2(2). doi: 10.56600/jwmdc.v2i2.74.
- [3] Khalid A, Khan MA, Punjabi SK, Manzoor U, Aslam MA, Shams S. Evaluation of anxiety and hemodynamic changes in surgical removal of lower third molar under local anesthesia. The Professional Medical Journal. 2024; 31(01): 78-83. doi: 10.29309/TPMJ/202 4.31.01.7886.
- [4] Lee CT, Zhang S, Leung YY, Li SK, Tsang CC, Chu CH. Patients' satisfaction and prevalence of complications on surgical extraction of third molar. Patient Preference and Adherence. 2015 Feb; 9: 257-63. doi: 10.2147/PPA.S76236.
- [5] Bassyoni L. Comparative Effect of Celecoxib, Diclofenac, and Ibuprofen in Controlling Postoperative Pain, Edema, and Trismus After Third Molar Extraction: A Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Trial. Cureus. 2024 Feb; 16(2): e53687. doi: 10.7759/cureus.53687.
- [6] Gonçalves KK, dos Santos MS, da Silva Barbirato D, Silva CC, de Barros AV, de Araújo ES et al. Is the injection of tramadol effective at control of pain after impacted mandibular third molar extractions? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicina Oral Patología Oral Y Cirugía Bucal. 2022 Nov; 27(6): e560. doi: 10.4317/medoral.25498.
- [7] Miroshnychenko A, Ibrahim S, Azab M, Roldan Y, Martinez JP, Tamilselvan D et al. Acute postoperative pain due to dental extraction in the adult population: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Journal of Dental Research. 2023 Apr; 102(4): 391-401. doi: 10.1177/00220345221139230.\
- [8] Gonzalez-Barnadas A, Camps-Font O, Martin-Fatas P, Figueiredo R, Gay-Escoda C, Valmaseda-Castellon E. Efficacy and safety of selective COX-2 inhibitors for pain management after third molar removal: a metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2020 Jan; 24: 79-96. doi: 10.1007/s00 784-019-02910-3.
- [9] Gascon N, Almansa C, Merlos M, Miguel Vela J, Encina G, Morte A et al. Co-crystal of tramadol-celecoxib: preclinical and clinical evaluation of a novel analgesic. Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs. 2019 May; 28(5): 399-409. doi: 10.1080/13543784.201 9.1612557.
- [10] Isiordia-Espinoza MA, Gómez-Sánchez E, Mora-Falcón IJ, Amador-Beas IA, Hernández-Gómez A, Serafín-Higuera NA et al. Analgesic Efficacy of COX-2 Inhibitors in Periodontal Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. InHealthcare. 2023 Apr;

11(7): 1054. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11071054.

- [11] Drini M. Peptic ulcer disease and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Australian Prescriber. 2017 Jun; 40(3): 91. doi: 10.18773/austprescr.2017.037.
- [12] Gay-Escoda C, Hanna M, Montero A, Dietrich T, Milleri S, Giergiel E et al. Tramadol/dexketoprofen (TRAM/DKP) compared with tramadol/paracetamol in moderate to severe acute pain: results of a randomised, double-blind, placebo and activecontrolled, parallel group trial in the impacted third molar extraction pain model (DAVID study). British Medical Journal Open. 2019 Feb; 9(2): e023715. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023715.
- [13] Vranckx M, Fieuws S, Jacobs R, Politis C. Prophylactic vs. symptomatic third molar removal: effects on patient postoperative morbidity. Journal of Evidence Based Dental Practice. 2021 Sep; 21(3): 101582. doi: 10.1016/j.jebdp.2021.101582.
- [14] Zamiri B, Mousavizadeh K, Taj Am, Mohammadi Nc, Aarabi AM. Comparison of ibuprofen, celecoxib and tramadol in relief of pain after extraction of mandibular third molar teeth. Journal of Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal. 2009; 11(4): 431-436.
- [15] Bauer HC, Duarte FL, Horliana AC, Tortamano IP, Perez FE, Simone JL et al. Assessment of preemptive analgesia with ibuprofen coadministered or not with dexamethasone in third molar surgery: a randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial. Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. 2013 Sep; 17: 165-71. doi: 10.10 07/s10006-012-0360-7.
- [16] Hanzawa A, Handa T, Kohkita Y, Ichinohe T, Fukuda KI. A comparative study of oral analgesics for postoperative pain after minor oral surgery. Anesthesia Progress. 2018 Mar; 65(1): 24-9. doi: 10.23 44/anpr-65-01-02.
- [17] Kumar GP, Shu-Lyn C, Win GE, Sie LW, binti Lakman NF, Haque N. Comparison between preoperative and post-operative administration of paracetamol, ibuprofen and mefenamic acid for post-extraction pain control. Biomedical Research and Therapy. 2020 May; 7(5): 3794-8. doi: 10.15419/bmrat.v7i5.606.
- [18] Jung YS, Kim MK, Um YJ, Park HS, Lee EW, Kang JW. The effects on postoperative oral surgery pain by varying NSAID administration times: comparison on effect of preemptive analgesia. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology. 2005 Nov; 100(5): 559-63. doi: 10.1016 /j.tripleo.2005.02.065.
- [19] Sener M, Pektas ZO, Yilmaz I, Turkoz A, Uckan S, Donmez A et al. Comparison of preemptive analgesic effects of a single dose of nonopioid analgesics for pain management after ambulatory surgery: A prospective, randomized, single-blind studyin

Turkish patients. Current Therapeutic Research. 2005 Nov; 66(6): 541-51. doi: 10.1016/j.curtheres.2005. 12.001.

[20] Akinbade AO, Ndukwe KC, Owotade FJ. Comparative analgesic efficacy and tolerability of celecoxib and tramadol on postoperative pain after mandibular third molar extraction: A double blind randomized controlled trial. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice. 2019 Jun; 22(6): 796-800. doi: 10.4103/njcp.njcp_544_ 18.